

# FORMAL SELF-ADJOINTNESS OF A FAMILY OF CONFORMALLY INVARIANT BIDIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

JEFFREY S. CASE AND ZETIAN YAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that the curved Ovsienko–Redou operators and a related family of differential operators are formally self-adjoint. This verifies two conjectures of Case, Lin, and Yuan.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The GJMS operator of order  $2k$ , introduced by Graham, Jenne, Mason, and Sparling [7], is a conformally invariant differential operator with leading-order term  $\Delta^k$  defined on any Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  of dimension  $n \geq 2k$ . It can be defined by suitably restricting the power  $\tilde{\Delta}^k$  of the Laplacian of the Fefferman–Graham ambient space [4]. While  $\tilde{\Delta}^k$  is formally self-adjoint in the ambient space, this does not immediately imply that the GJMS operators are formally self-adjoint.

There are now two proofs that the GJMS operators are formally self-adjoint. The first, due to Graham and Zworski [8] (cf. [3]), uses a realization of the GJMS operators as poles of the scattering operator of a Poincaré space. The second, due to Juhl [9] (cf. [5]), uses a remarkable formula for the GJMS operators in terms of a family of formally self-adjoint second-order differential operators. The first approach relies heavily on the equivalent characterization of the GJMS operators as obstructions to formally extending a function to be harmonic in the ambient space, while the second approach requires a complicated combinatorial argument.

Case, Lin, and Yuan [2] gave two generalizations of the GJMS operators; here we discuss those which are plausibly formally self-adjoint. First is a family of conformally invariant bidifferential operators

$$D_{2k} : \mathcal{E} \left[ -\frac{n-2k}{3} \right]^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \left[ -\frac{2n+2k}{3} \right]$$

of total order  $2k$ . They are called the **curved Ovsienko–Redou operators** because they generalize a family of bidifferential operators constructed by Ovsienko and Redou [10] on the sphere. The operators  $D_{2k}$  are determined ambiently by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v}) &:= \sum_{r+s+t=k} a_{r,s,t} \tilde{\Delta}^r \left( (\tilde{\Delta}^s \tilde{u})(\tilde{\Delta}^t \tilde{v}) \right), \\ a_{r,s,t} &:= \frac{k!}{r!s!t!} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+4k}{6} - r\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+4k}{6} - s\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+4k}{6} - t\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2k}{6}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+4k}{6}\right)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C25.

*Key words and phrases*. conformally invariant operators; Ovsienko–Redou operators; formal self-adjointness.

on  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-\frac{n-2k}{3}] \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-\frac{n-2k}{3}]$ ; in this paper, tensor products are over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Second is a family of conformally invariant differential operators

$$D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}: \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n-2k-2\ell}{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n+2k+2\ell}{2}\right]$$

of order  $2k$  associated to a scalar Weyl invariant  $\mathcal{I}$  of weight  $-2\ell$ . These are determined ambiently by a scalar Riemannian invariant  $\tilde{I}$  of weight  $-2\ell$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}}(\tilde{u}) &:= \sum_{r+s=k} b_{r,s} \tilde{\Delta}^r \left( \tilde{I} \tilde{\Delta}^s \tilde{u} \right), \\ b_{r,s} &:= \frac{k!}{r!s!} \frac{(\ell+s-1)!(\ell+r-1)!}{(\ell-1)!^2}, \end{aligned}$$

on  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-\frac{n-2k-2\ell}{2}]$ . See Section 2 for an explanation of our notation and a description of how the ambient formulas determine conformally invariant operators.

The symmetry of the coefficients  $a_{r,s,t}$  and  $b_{r,s}$  and the observations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\left[-\frac{n-2k}{3}\right] \ni \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} &\implies \tilde{u} \tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{w}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-n], \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\left[-\frac{n-2k-2\ell}{2}\right] \ni \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} &\implies \tilde{u} \tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}}(\tilde{v}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-n], \end{aligned}$$

imply that the induced operators  $D_{2k}$  and  $D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}$  are plausibly formally self-adjoint. Case, Lin, and Yuan [2] conjectured their formally self-adjointness and verified this when  $k \leq 3$ . The difficulty in this problem is that there is not an equivalent description of these operators as an obstruction to solving some second-order PDE, and hence the Graham–Zworski argument cannot be adapted to  $D_{2k}$  or  $D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}$ . Case, Lin, and Yuan instead adapted Juhl’s approach, with the constraint  $k \leq 3$  due to the difficulty of the combinatorial argument.

In this paper we develop a new, conceptually simple approach to proving formal self-adjointness which verifies the Case–Lin–Yuan conjecture:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; if  $n$  is even, then assume additionally that  $k \leq n/2$ . Then  $D_{2k}: \mathcal{E}[-\frac{n-2k}{3}]^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[-\frac{2n+2k}{3}]$  is formally self-adjoint.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a scalar Weyl invariant of weight  $-2\ell$  in the ambient space; if  $n$  is even, then assume additionally that  $k \leq n/2$  if  $\ell = 0$  and  $k + \ell \leq n/2 + 1$  if  $\ell \geq 1$ . Then  $D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}: \mathcal{E}[-\frac{n-2k-2\ell}{2}] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[-\frac{n+2k+2\ell}{2}]$  is formally self-adjoint.*

The restrictions on  $k$  and  $k + \ell$  when  $n$  is even ensure that the operators  $D_{2k}$  and  $D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}$ , respectively, are independent of the ambiguity of the ambient metric; see Section 2 for details. When  $\mathcal{I} = 1$ , the operator  $D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}$  is a nonzero multiple of the GJMS operator of order  $2k$ , and so Theorem 1.2 gives a new proof of its formal self-adjointness.

The main idea in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is to restrict the ambient operators  $\tilde{D}_{2k}$  and  $\tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}}$  to the Poincaré space  $(\dot{X}, g_+)$  equivalent to a given ambient space. Their Dirichlet forms

$$\int_{r>\varepsilon} \left( \tilde{u} \tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{w}) \right) \Big|_{\dot{X}} dV_{g_+} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{r>\varepsilon} \left( \tilde{u} \tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}}(\tilde{v}) \right) \Big|_{\dot{X}} dV_{g_+}$$

are both in  $O(\log \varepsilon)$ , and the coefficient of the log terms are the Dirichlet forms of the respective operators  $D_{2k}$  and  $D_{2k, \mathcal{I}}$  on  $M$ . The Divergence Theorem implies that there are polydifferential operators  $B$  and  $B_{\tilde{I}}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r>\varepsilon} \left( \tilde{u} D_{2k}(\tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{w}) - \tilde{v} \tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{w}) \right) \Big|_{\dot{X}} dV_{g_+} &= \int_{r=\varepsilon} B(u \otimes v \otimes w) dA_{g_+}, \\ \int_{r>\varepsilon} \left( \tilde{u} \tilde{D}_{2k, \tilde{I}}(\tilde{v}) - \tilde{v} \tilde{D}_{2k, \tilde{I}}(\tilde{u}) \right) \Big|_{\dot{X}} dV_{g_+} &= \int_{r=\varepsilon} B_{\tilde{I}}(u \otimes v) dA_{g_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the right-hand sides cannot have a log term—they are in  $O(1)$ —and hence  $D_{2k}$  and  $D_{2k, \mathcal{I}}$  are formally self-adjoint; see Section 4 for details.

This paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2 we recall necessary background material on the ambient space and give a unified construction of the operators  $D_{2k}$  and  $D_{2k, \mathcal{I}}$  of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, respectively. Our construction differs slightly from that of Case, Lin, and Yuan. We normalize our operators so that  $D_{2k}$  coincides with their construction of the curved Ovsienko–Redou operators. While the operators  $D_{2k, \mathcal{I}}$  do not coincide with the linear operators constructed by Case, Lin, and Yuan [2, Theorem 1.7], the linear spans of our respective operators agree.

In Section 3 we describe the restrictions of  $\tilde{D}_{2k}$  and  $\tilde{D}_{2k, \tilde{I}}$  to  $(\dot{X}, g_+)$  and express them in terms of linear combinations of compositions of  $\Delta_{g_+} + c$  for constants  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In Section 4 we realize the Dirichlet forms for  $D_{2k}$  and  $D_{2k, \mathcal{I}}$  in terms of the leading-order term of the corresponding Dirichlet forms in  $(\dot{X}, g_+)$ . We then use the simple formulas for the latter operators to deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

## 2. AMBIENT SPACES AND THE OVSIENKO–REDOU OPERATORS

In this section we recall the construction [2] of the curved Ovsienko–Redou operators and their related linear operators.

**2.1. Ambient spaces.** We begin by recalling the relevant aspects of the ambient space, following Fefferman and Graham [4].

Let  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  be a conformal manifold of signature  $(p, q)$ . Denote

$$\mathcal{G} := \{(x, g_x) : x \in M, g \in \mathfrak{c}\} \subset S^2 T^* M$$

and let  $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$  be the natural projection. We regard  $\mathcal{G}$  as a principal  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -bundle with dilation  $\delta_\lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , given by

$$\delta_\lambda(x, g_x) := (x, \lambda^2 g_x).$$

Denote by  $T := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=1} \delta_\lambda$  the infinitesimal generator of  $\delta_\lambda$ . The canonical metric is the degenerate metric  $\mathbf{g}$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{g}(X, Y) := g_x(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)$$

for  $X, Y \in T_{(x, g_x)} \mathcal{G}$ . Note that  $\delta_\lambda^* \mathbf{g} = \lambda^2 \mathbf{g}$ .

A choice of representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$  determines an identification  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \cong \mathcal{G}$  via  $(t, x) \cong (x, t^2 g_x)$ . In these coordinates,  $T_{(t, x)} = t \partial_t$  and  $\mathbf{g}_{(t, x)} = t^2 \pi^* g$ .

Extend the projection and dilation to  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{R}$  in the natural way:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x, g_x, \rho) &:= x, \\ \delta_\lambda(x, g_x, \rho) &:= (x, \lambda^2 g_x, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho$  denotes the coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We abuse notation and also denote by  $T$  the infinitesimal generator of  $\delta_\lambda: \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\iota: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{R}$  denote the inclusion  $\iota(x, g_x) := (x, g_x, 0)$ . A **pre-ambient space** for  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  is a pair  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  consisting of a dilation-invariant subspace  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{R}$  containing  $\iota(\mathcal{G})$  and a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  of signature  $(p+1, q+1)$  satisfying  $\delta_\lambda^* \tilde{g} = \lambda^2 \tilde{g}$  and  $\iota^* \tilde{g} = g$ .

An **ambient space** for  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  is a pre-ambient space  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  for  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  which is formally Ricci flat; i.e.

- if  $n$  is odd, then  $\text{Ric}(\tilde{g}) \in O(\rho^\infty)$ ;
- if  $n$  is even, then  $\text{Ric}(\tilde{g}) \in O^+(\rho^{n/2-1})$ .

Here  $O^+(\rho^m)$  is the set of sections  $S$  of  $S^2 T^* \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  such that

- (i)  $\rho^{-m} S$  extends continuously to  $\iota(\mathcal{G})$ , and
- (ii) for each  $z = (x, g_x) \in \mathcal{G}$ , there is an  $s \in S^2 T_x^* M$  such that  $\text{tr}_{g_x} s = 0$  and  $(\iota^*(\rho^{-m} S))(z) = (\pi^* s)(z)$ .

Two ambient spaces  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j, \tilde{g}_j)$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , for  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  are **ambient-equivalent** if there are open sets  $\mathcal{U}_j \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_j$  and a diffeomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$  such that

- (i)  $\iota(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_j$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ ;
- (ii) each  $\mathcal{U}_j$  is dilation-invariant and  $\Phi$  commutes with dilations;
- (iii)  $\Phi \circ \iota = \iota$ ;
- (iv) if  $n$  is odd, then  $\Phi^* \tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_1 \in O(\rho^\infty)$ ;
- (v) if  $n$  is even, then  $\Phi^* \tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_1 \in O^+(\rho^{n/2})$ .

Fefferman and Graham showed [4, Theorem 2.3] is that if  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  is a conformal manifold, then there is a unique, up to ambient-equivalence, ambient space for  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ . In fact, they proved a stronger statement [4, Theorem 2.9(A)]: Let  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  be a conformal manifold and pick a representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$ . Then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a one-parameter family  $g_\rho$ ,  $\rho \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ , of metrics on  $M$  such that  $g_0 = g$  and

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{G}} &:= \mathcal{G} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \\ \tilde{g} &:= 2\rho dt^2 + 2t dt d\rho + t^2 g_\rho \end{aligned}$$

defines an ambient space  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  for  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ . We say that an ambient metric in the form above is **straight and normal**.

Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  be the ambient space for  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ . Denote by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w] := \left\{ \tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) : \delta_\lambda^* \tilde{f} = \lambda^w \tilde{f} \right\}$$

the space of homogeneous functions on  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  of weight  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w]$  if and only if  $T\tilde{f} = w\tilde{f}$ . The space of **conformal densities** of weight  $w$  is

$$\mathcal{E}[w] := \left\{ \iota^* \tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{G}) : \tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w] \right\}.$$

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An ambient **scalar Riemannian invariant**  $\tilde{I}$  is an assignment to each ambient space  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{n+2}, \tilde{g})$  of a linear combination  $\tilde{I}_{\tilde{g}}$  of complete contractions of

$$(2.2) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}^{N_1} \widetilde{\text{Rm}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{\nabla}^{N_\ell} \widetilde{\text{Rm}},$$

with  $\ell \geq 2$ , where  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  and  $\widetilde{\text{Rm}}$  are the Levi-Civita connection and Riemann curvature tensor, respectively, of  $\tilde{g}$ , we regard  $\widetilde{\text{Rm}}$  as a section of  $\otimes^4 T^* \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ , and we use  $\tilde{g}^{-1}$  to

take contractions. Any complete contraction of (2.2) is homogeneous of weight

$$w = -2\ell - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} N_i.$$

We assume  $\ell \geq 2$  because any complete contraction of  $\widetilde{\nabla}^N \widetilde{\text{Rm}}$  is proportional to  $\widetilde{\Delta}^{N/2} \widetilde{R}$  modulo ambient scalar Riemannian invariants, and  $\widetilde{\Delta}^{N/2} \widetilde{R} = 0$  when it is independent of the ambiguity of  $\widetilde{g}$ . If  $\widetilde{I}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\widetilde{g}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} := \iota^* \widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{g}} \in \mathcal{E}[w]$  is independent of the choice of ambient space. A **scalar Weyl invariant** is a scalar invariant  $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{E}[w]$  constructed in this way. Fefferman and Graham gave a condition on the weight  $w$  which implies this independence:

**Lemma 2.1** ([4, Proposition 9.1]). *Let  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{n+2}, \widetilde{g})$  be a straight and normal ambient space and let  $\widetilde{I} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}[w]$  be an ambient scalar Riemannian invariant. If  $w \geq -n-2$ , then  $\iota^* \widetilde{I}_{\widetilde{g}}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\widetilde{g}$ .*

Bailey, Eastwood, and Graham [1, Theorem A] showed that every conformally invariant scalar of weight  $w > -n$  is a Weyl invariant.

**2.2. Conformally invariant polydifferential operators.** Fix  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An ambient **polydifferential operator**  $\widetilde{D}$  of weight  $-2k$  is an assignment to each ambient space  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{n+2}, \widetilde{g})$  of a linear map

$$\widetilde{D}^{\widetilde{g}}: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}[w_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}[w_j] \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}[w_1 + \cdots + w_j - 2k]$$

such that  $\widetilde{D}^{\widetilde{g}}(\widetilde{u}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{u}_j)$  is a linear combination of complete contractions of

$$(2.3) \quad \widetilde{\nabla}^{N_1} \widetilde{u}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{\nabla}^{N_j} \widetilde{u}_j \otimes \widetilde{\nabla}^{N_{j+1}} \widetilde{\text{Rm}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{\nabla}^{N_\ell} \widetilde{\text{Rm}}$$

with  $\ell = j$  or  $\ell \geq j+2$ . Necessarily the powers  $N_1, \dots, N_\ell$  satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} N_i + 2\ell - 2j = 2k.$$

The **total order** of such a contraction is  $\sum_{i=1}^j N_i$ . We say that  $\widetilde{D}$  is **tangential** if  $\iota^*(\widetilde{D}^{\widetilde{g}}(\widetilde{u}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{u}_j))$  depends only on  $\iota^* \widetilde{u}_1, \dots, \iota^* \widetilde{u}_j$  and  $\widetilde{g}$  modulo its ambiguity. On each conformal manifold  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ , such an operator determines a **conformally invariant polydifferential operator**

$$D: \mathcal{E}[w_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{E}[w_j] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[w_1 + \cdots + w_j - 2k].$$

We will give a condition on the total order of an ambient polydifferential operator which implies that it is independent of the ambiguity of  $\widetilde{g}$ . Let  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{g})$  be a straight and normal ambient space for  $(M^n, g)$ . Following Fefferman and Graham [4], given coordinates  $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n$  on  $M$  and a multi-index  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n, \infty\}^r$ , we denote by  $\widetilde{T}_A = \widetilde{T}_{a_1 \dots a_r}$  a component of a tensor  $\widetilde{T} \in \otimes^k T^* \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ , where the index 0 represents  $\partial_t$ , an index  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  represents  $\partial_{x^i}$ , and the index  $\infty$  represents  $\partial_\rho$ . The **length** of  $A$  is  $|A| := r$  and the **strength** of  $A$  is

$$\|A\| := |\{j : a_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}| + 2|\{j : a_j = \infty\}|.$$

When  $\widetilde{f} \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$ , we denote  $\widetilde{f}_{a_1 \dots a_r} := \widetilde{\nabla}_{a_r} \cdots \widetilde{\nabla}_{a_1} \widetilde{f} =: (\widetilde{\nabla}^r \widetilde{f})_{a_r \dots a_1}$ .

We first determine the dependence of the covariant derivatives  $\widetilde{\nabla}^r \widetilde{f}$  of a function  $\widetilde{f} \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})$  on the ambiguity of  $\widetilde{g}$  (cf. [4, Proof of Proposition 6.2]).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{n+2}, \tilde{g})$  be a straight and normal ambient space and let  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w]$ . Let  $A$  be a multi-index of length  $r := |A|$  and strength  $s := \|A\|$ . Then  $\tilde{f}_A \bmod O(\rho^{(n-s)/2})$  depends only on  $\tilde{g} \bmod O(\rho^{n/2})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction in  $r$ . Since  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w]$ , we see that  $\mathcal{L}_T \tilde{\nabla}^r \tilde{f} = w \tilde{\nabla}^r \tilde{f}$ . Combining this with the identity  $\tilde{\nabla} T = \tilde{g}$  yields

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_T \tilde{\nabla}^r \tilde{f} = (w - r) \tilde{\nabla}^r \tilde{f}.$$

In particular, it suffices to consider the case  $(n - s)/2 \leq n/2 - 1$ : If  $A$  is a multi-index of strength  $s \leq 1$ , then Equation (2.4) implies that  $\tilde{f}_A$  is proportional to  $\tilde{f}$  (if  $s = 0$ ) or  $\tilde{f}_a$  for some  $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  (if  $s = 1$ ). In either case  $\tilde{f}_A$  is independent of  $\tilde{g}$ .

We now proceed with the induction. The claim is trivially true when  $r = 0$ . For the inductive step, consider  $\tilde{f}_{Aa}$  for  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  a multi-index of length  $r$  and  $Aa := (a_1, \dots, a_r, a)$  the corresponding multi-index of length  $r + 1$ . Denote  $s := \|Aa\|$  and  $s' := \|A\|$ .

Suppose first that  $a = 0$ . Then Equation (2.4) yields  $\tilde{f}_{Aa} = (w - r) \tilde{f}_A$ . The required dependence of  $\tilde{f}_{Aa}$  then follows from the inductive hypothesis.

Suppose next that  $a \neq 0$ . Write

$$\tilde{f}_{Aa} = \partial_a \tilde{f}_A - \tilde{\Gamma}_{aa_1}^b \tilde{f}_{ba_2 \dots a_r} - \dots - \tilde{\Gamma}_{aa_r}^b \tilde{f}_{a_1 \dots a_{r-1} b}.$$

If  $a \neq \infty$ , then  $s = s' + 1$  and hence  $\partial_{x^i} O(\rho^{(n-s')/2}) \subseteq O(\rho^{(n-s)/2})$ . If instead  $a = \infty$ , then  $s = s' + 2$  and hence  $\partial_\rho O(\rho^{(n-s')/2}) = O(\rho^{(n-s)/2})$ . In either case, the inductive hypothesis then gives the required dependence of  $\partial_a \tilde{f}_A$ . We now consider the summand  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{aa_r}^b \tilde{f}_{a_1 \dots a_{r-1} b}$ ; the remaining summands are similar. Since  $(n - s)/2 \leq n/2 - 1$ , the formula [4, Equation (3.16)] for the Christoffel symbols of  $\tilde{g}$  imply that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{IJ}^K \bmod O(\rho^{(n-s)/2})$  depends only on  $\tilde{g} \bmod O(\rho^{n/2})$ , and so we can ignore the ambiguity caused by the Christoffel symbols. The worst case for the ambiguity caused by the inductive hypothesis is when  $b = \infty$  and  $a_r = 0$ , so that  $\|(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, b)\| = s' + 2$ . If  $a = \infty$ , then  $s' + 2 = s$ , and so the required dependence follows from the inductive hypothesis. If  $a \neq \infty$ , then the vanishing of the Christoffel symbol  $\Gamma_{a0}^\infty$  implies that the worst case does not happen. Thus  $\|(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, b)\| \leq s' + 1 = s$ , and so again the required dependence follows from the inductive hypothesis.  $\square$

We now give a condition on the total order of an ambient polydifferential operator that implies that it is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$  (cf. [4, Proposition 9.1]).

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{n+2}, \tilde{g})$  be a straight and normal ambient space and let  $\tilde{D}$  be an ambient polydifferential operator of weight  $-2k$ . Suppose that*

- (i)  $n$  is odd,
- (ii)  $k \leq n/2$ , or
- (iii)  $k \leq n/2 + 1$  and  $\tilde{D}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of complete contractions of tensors of the form (2.3) with  $\ell \geq j + 2$ .

*Then  $\tilde{D}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ .*

*Proof.* The conclusion is clear when  $n$  is odd. Assume that  $n$  is even.

Since the component  $\tilde{g}^{ab}$  at  $\rho = 0$  of the inverse ambient metric is nonzero only if  $\|ab\| = 2$ , we see that a complete contraction of a tensor of the form (2.3) can only

be nonzero on  $\mathcal{G}$  if each contracted pair has strength two. Denote by  $S_1, \dots, S_\ell$  the strengths of the factors in a contributing monomial. Note that the sum of the strengths must equal the total number of indices in a given monomial. Therefore

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} N_i + 4(\ell - j) = 2(k + \ell - j).$$

Clearly  $S_i \geq 0$  if  $i \leq j$ . Fefferman and Graham observed [4, Proposition 6.1] that  $S_i \geq 4$  if  $i \geq j + 1$ .

Suppose first that  $k \leq n/2$ . If  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ , then Equation (2.5) yields

$$S_{i_0} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i - 4(\ell - j) = 2(k - \ell + j) \leq 2k \leq n.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $k = n/2$  and  $\ell = j$  and  $S_i = 0$  for each  $i \neq i_0$ . If equality holds, then our monomial is proportional to  $(\prod_{i \neq i_0} \tilde{u}_i) \tilde{\Delta}^{n/2} \tilde{u}_{i_0}$  modulo complete contractions of tensors of the form (2.3) with  $\ell \geq j + 1$ ; these will be considered next. Graham et al. observed [7, Section 3] that  $(\prod_{i \neq i_0} \tilde{u}_i) \tilde{\Delta}^{n/2} \tilde{u}_{i_0}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ . Otherwise Lemma 2.2 implies that the contribution of the factor  $\tilde{\nabla}^{M_{i_0}} \tilde{u}_{i_0}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ . If instead  $i_0 \in \{j + 1, \dots, \ell\}$ , then  $\ell \geq j + 1$  and hence Equation (2.5) yields

$$S_{i_0} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i - 4(\ell - j - 1) = 2(k + 2 - \ell + j) \leq n + 2.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $k = n/2$  and  $\ell = j + 1$  and  $S_i = 0$  for  $i \neq i_0$ . If equality holds, then our monomial is proportional to  $\tilde{u}_0 \cdots \tilde{u}_j \tilde{I}$  for  $\tilde{I}$  a complete contraction of  $\tilde{\nabla}^{n/2} \tilde{\text{Rm}}$ . Otherwise  $S_{i_0} \leq n + 1$ . In either case, Fefferman and Graham showed [4, Propositions 6.2 and 9.1] that the contribution of the factor  $\tilde{\nabla}^{M_{i_0}} \tilde{\text{Rm}}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ . We conclude that our monomial is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ .

Suppose now that  $\ell \geq j + 2$  and  $k \leq n/2 + 1$ . If  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ , then Equation (2.5) yields

$$S_{i_0} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i - 4(\ell - j) \leq 2(k - \ell + j) \leq 2k - 4 \leq n - 2.$$

Lemma 2.2 then implies that the contribution of the factor  $\tilde{\nabla}^{M_{i_0}} \tilde{u}_{i_0}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ . If instead  $i_0 \in \{j + 1, \dots, \ell\}$ , then Equation (2.5) yields

$$S_{i_0} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i - 4(\ell - j - 1) \leq 2(k + 2 - \ell + j) \leq 2k \leq n + 2.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $S_i = 0$  for each  $i \leq j$  and  $S_i = 4$  for each  $i \in \{j + 1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{i_0\}$ . Fefferman and Graham showed [4, Propositions 6.2 and 9.1] that the contribution of the factor  $\tilde{\nabla}^{M_{i_0}} \tilde{\text{Rm}}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ . We again conclude that our monomial is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ .  $\square$

Now let  $D: \mathcal{E}[-\frac{n-2k}{j+1}]^{\otimes j} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[-\frac{jn+2k}{j+1}]$  be a conformally invariant polydifferential operator. Then for every compact conformal manifold  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ , the Dirichlet

form  $\mathfrak{D}: \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n-2k}{j+1}\right]^{\otimes(j+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  determined by

$$\mathfrak{D}(u_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_j) := \int_M u_0 D(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_j) dV,$$

is conformally invariant. We say that  $D$  is **formally self-adjoint** if  $\mathfrak{D}$  is symmetric. This implies that  $D$  is itself symmetric.

We conclude this section by constructing the curved Ovsienko–Redou operators  $D_{2k}$  and their linear analogues  $D_{2k, \mathcal{I}}$ . To that end, we identify a tangential linear combination of operators of the form  $\tilde{\Delta}^{k-j} \circ \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^j$  for some fixed homogeneous function  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w']$  (cf. [2, Lemma 6.1]).

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  be an ambient space for a conformal manifold  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  and let  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w']$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\tilde{D}_{2k, w, \tilde{f}}: \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w + w' - 2k]$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{2k, w, \tilde{f}}(\tilde{u}) &:= \sum_{j=0}^k a_j \tilde{\Delta}^{k-j} \left( \tilde{f} \tilde{\Delta}^j \tilde{u} \right), \\ a_j &:= \binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(j+k-w-w'-\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+w-j)}{\Gamma(k-w-w'-\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+w-k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\iota^* \tilde{D}_{2k, w, \tilde{f}}(\tilde{u})$  depends only on  $\iota^* \tilde{u}$ ,  $\tilde{f}$ , and  $\tilde{g}$ .

*Proof.* Consider the defining function  $Q := |T|^2$  for  $\iota(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . It suffices to show that the commutator  $[\tilde{D}_{2k, w, \tilde{f}}, Q] = 0$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w-2]$ , where  $Q$  is regarded as a multiplication operator. Graham et al. observed [7, Equation (1.8)] that

$$[\tilde{\Delta}^\ell, Q] = 2\ell \tilde{\Delta}^{\ell-1} (2T + n + 4 - 2\ell)$$

on  $C^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$  for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$$[\tilde{D}_{2k, w, \tilde{f}}, Q] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j \tilde{\Delta}^{k-j-1} \circ \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^j$$

on  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w-2]$ , where

$$b_j = 2(j+1)(n+2w-2j-2)a_{j+1} - 2(k-j)(2j+2k-2w-2w'-n)a_j.$$

Our definition of  $a_j$  yields  $b_j = 0$ , and hence  $[\tilde{D}_{2k, w, \tilde{f}}, Q] = 0$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w-2]$ .  $\square$

Note that  $\tilde{D}_{k, w, \tilde{f}}$  is not a differential operator in the sense of Subsection 2.1 because we do not require that  $\tilde{f}$  is an ambient scalar Riemannian invariant. Applying Lemma 2.4 with a suitably chosen ambient scalar Riemannian invariant does produce a tangential different operator:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  be a conformal manifold and let  $\tilde{I} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-2\ell]$  be an ambient scalar Riemannian invariant. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; if  $n$  is even, then assume additionally that*

- (i)  $k \leq n/2$ , if  $\ell = 0$ ; and
- (ii)  $k + \ell \leq n/2 + 1$ , if  $\ell \geq 1$ .



Then

$$\tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}}(\tilde{u}) := \sum_{r+s=k} \frac{k!}{r!s!} \frac{(\ell+s-1)!(\ell+r-1)!}{(\ell-1)!^2} \tilde{\Delta}^r \left( \tilde{I} \tilde{\Delta}^s \tilde{u} \right)$$

defines a tangential differential operator  $\tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}}: \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-\frac{n-2k-2\ell}{2}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-\frac{n+2k+2\ell}{2}]$ . In particular, the differential operator

$$D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}: \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n-2k-2\ell}{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n+2k+2\ell}{2}\right],$$

$$D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}(\iota^* \tilde{u}) := (\tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}} \tilde{u}) \circ \iota,$$

is conformally invariant.

*Proof.* Corollary 2.3 implies that  $\tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ . Since  $\tilde{I} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-2\ell]$ , applying Lemma 2.4 then implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{D}_{2k,\tilde{I}} = \tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{I}}$$

is tangential, where  $w := -\frac{n}{2} + k + \ell$ .  $\square$

The curved Ovsienko–Redou operators arise by looking for tangential linear combinations of the operators  $\tilde{D}_{2k-2s,\tilde{\Delta}^s \tilde{f}}$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$  be a conformal manifold. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; if  $n$  is even, then assume additionally that  $k \leq n/2$ . Then*

$$\tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v}) := \sum_{r+s+t=k} a_{r,s,t} \tilde{\Delta}^r \left( (\tilde{\Delta}^s \tilde{u})(\tilde{\Delta}^t \tilde{v}) \right),$$

$$a_{r,s,t} := \frac{k!}{r!s!t!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+4k}{6} - r) \Gamma(\frac{n+4k}{6} - s) \Gamma(\frac{n+4k}{6} - t)}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2k}{6}) \Gamma(\frac{n+4k}{6})^2},$$

defines a tangential bidifferential operator  $\tilde{D}_{2k}: \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-\frac{n-2k}{3}]^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-\frac{2n+2k}{3}]$ . In particular, the bidifferential operator

$$D_{2k}: \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{n-2k}{3}\right]^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\left[-\frac{2n+2k}{3}\right],$$

$$D_{2k}(\iota^* \tilde{u} \otimes \iota^* \tilde{v}) := \tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v}) \circ \iota$$

is conformally invariant.

*Proof.* Corollary 2.3 implies that  $\tilde{D}_{2k}$  is independent of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{g}$ . Since  $\tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v}) = \tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{u})$ , it thus suffices to show that  $\tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v}) \circ \iota$  depends only on  $\iota^* \tilde{v}$ ,  $\tilde{u}$ , and  $\tilde{g}$  in order to conclude that  $\tilde{D}_{2k}$  is tangential. Observe that

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v}) = \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+4k}{6} - s) \Gamma(\frac{n-2k}{6} + s)^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2k}{6}) \Gamma(\frac{n+4k}{6})^2} \tilde{D}_{2k-2s, -\frac{n-2k}{3}, \tilde{\Delta}^s \tilde{u}}(\tilde{v}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 to each  $\tilde{D}_{2k-2s, -\frac{n-2k}{3}, \tilde{\Delta}^s \tilde{u}}$  implies that  $\tilde{D}_{2k}(\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{v}) \circ \iota$  depends only on  $\iota^* \tilde{v}$ ,  $\tilde{u}$ , and  $\tilde{g}$ .  $\square$

## 3. POINCARÉ SPACES

In this section we give an equivalent description of the operators  $\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}$  from Lemma 2.4 in terms of the Poincaré space determined by a straight and normal ambient metric. We restrict our attention to the case  $w = -\frac{n+w'-2k}{2}$ , where  $w'$  is the weight of  $\tilde{f}$ , as it is only in this case that the operator on  $(M^n, g)$  induced by  $\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}$  can be formally self-adjoint.

Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  be the straight and normal ambient space (2.1) for a representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$  of a conformal manifold  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ . Set  $r := \sqrt{-2\rho}$  and  $s := rt$  in the domain  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+ := \{\rho < 0, t > 0\} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . In these coordinates,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g} &= -ds^2 + s^2 g_+, \\ g_+ &= r^{-2}(dr^2 + g_{-r^2/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The equation  $\tilde{g}(T, T) = -1$  defines a hypersurface  $\mathring{X} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . Since  $T = t\partial_t$ , we see that  $\mathring{X} = \{s = 1\}$ . Using Equation (3.1) to compute the Laplacian  $\tilde{\Delta}$  of  $\tilde{g}$  in terms of the Laplacian of  $g_+$  yields

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\Delta} = s^{-2} (\Delta_{g_+} - (s\partial_s)^2 - ns\partial_s).$$

Suppose that  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w]$ . Thus there is a one-parameter family of functions  $u_\rho \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $\tilde{u}(t, x, \rho) = t^w u_\rho(x)$ . Equivalently,  $\tilde{u}(r, x, s) = s^w \hat{u}(r, x)$ , where  $\hat{u} \in C^\infty(\mathring{X})$  is the function  $\hat{u}(r, x) = r^{-w} u_{-r^2/2}(x)$ . In particular,  $\tilde{u}$  is determined by its restriction  $\hat{u} = \tilde{u}|_{\mathring{X}}$ . Moreover, Equation (3.2) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{u} = s^{w-2} (\Delta_{g_+} - w(n+w)) \hat{u}.$$

This leads to a formula relating the operators  $\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}$  and

$$P_{2j,w} := \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (\Delta_{g_+} - (w-2i)(n+w-2i))$$

on  $(\mathring{X}, g_+)$ :

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  be the straight and normal ambient space for a representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$  of a conformal manifold  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ . Let  $(\mathring{X}, g_+)$  be the Poincaré manifold determined by the variables  $r, s$  as in Equation (3.1). Let  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w']$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $w := -\frac{n+w'-2k}{2}$ . If  $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w]$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u})|_{\mathring{X}} &= \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} c_{i,j} (P_{2i,w} \circ \hat{f} \circ P_{2j,w})(\hat{u}), \\ c_{i,j} &:= 4^{k-i-j} \frac{k!}{i!j!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - i) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - k)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{u} := \tilde{u}|_{\mathring{X}}$  and  $\hat{f} := \tilde{f}|_{\mathring{X}}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $w + w' - k = k - n - w$ . Lemma 2.4 and Equation (3.3) yield

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u})|_{\hat{X}} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - k + j) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - k)^2} \times (P_{2k-2j,2k-2j-n-w} \circ \hat{f} \circ P_{2j,w})(\hat{u}).$$

On the one hand, a simple reindexing in the definition of  $P_{2j,w}$  yields

$$(3.5) \quad P_{2k-2j,2k-2j-n-w} = P_{2k-2j,w-2}.$$

On the other hand, direct computation yields

$$\Delta_{g_+} - (w - 2j)(n + w - 2j) = \Delta_{g_+} - w(n + w) + 2j(n + 2w - 2j).$$

It follows that

$$P_{2j,w-2} = P_{2j,w} + 4j \left( \frac{n}{2} + w - j \right) P_{2j-2,w-2}.$$

A simple induction argument yields

$$(3.6) \quad P_{2j,w-2} = \sum_{i=0}^j 4^i \frac{j!}{(j-i)!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - j + i)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - j)} P_{2j-2i,w}.$$

Combining Equations (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u})|_{\hat{X}} &= \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^{k-j} 4^i \frac{k!}{j!(k-i-j)!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - k + i + j) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - j)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + w - k)^2} \\ &\quad \times (P_{2k-2i-2j,w} \circ \hat{f} \circ P_{2j,w})(\hat{u}). \end{aligned}$$

Reindexing the sum yields the final result.  $\square$

#### 4. FORMAL SELF-ADJOINTNESS

We are now ready to prove the formal self-adjointness of the curved Ovsienko-Redou operators  $D_{2k}$  and the conformally invariant operators  $D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}$ . To that end, we identify their associated Dirichlet forms as the logarithmic term in the expansion of the corresponding Dirichlet form in a Poincaré space. More generally:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  be the straight and normal ambient space for a representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$  of a conformal manifold  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ . Denote by  $(\hat{X}, g_+)$  the Poincaré space determined by the variables  $r, s$  as in Equation (3.1). Let  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w']$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $w := -\frac{n+w'-2k}{2}$ . Define  $D_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  by*

$$D_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(u) := \tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(t^w \pi^* u)|_{t=1, \rho=0}.$$

*If  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w]$ , then*

$$\int_{r>\varepsilon} \left( \tilde{v} \tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u}) \right) \Big|_{\hat{X}} dV_{g_+} = - \left( \int_M v D_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(u) dV_g \right) \log \varepsilon + O(1)$$

*as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $u := \tilde{u}|_{t=1, \rho=0}$  and  $v := \tilde{v}|_{t=1, \rho=0}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $2w + w' - 2k = -n$ . Thus  $\tilde{v}\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[-n]$ . Therefore

$$(\tilde{v}\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u}))|_{\hat{X}} = vD_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(u)r^n + O(r^{n+2})$$

as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Graham observed [6, Equations (3.1) and (3.2)] that

$$dV_{g_+} = r^{-n-1} (1 + O(r^2)) dV_g dr$$

as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Direct calculation yields the final result.  $\square$

Combining Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1 implies that  $D_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}$  is formally self-adjoint.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{g})$  be the straight and normal ambient space for a representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$  of a conformal manifold  $(M^n, \mathfrak{c})$ . Denote by  $(\mathring{X}, g_+)$  the Poincaré space as in Equation (3.1). Let  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}[w']$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $w := -\frac{n+w'-2k}{2}$ . Define  $D_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  as in Lemma 4.1. Then*

$$\int_M vD_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}u dV_g = \int_M uD_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(v) dV_g$$

for every compactly supported  $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$  be compactly supported. Set  $\tilde{u} := t^w \pi^* u$  and  $\tilde{v} := t^w \pi^* v$ . Given a function  $\phi = \phi(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\phi \in O(\log \varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , denote

$$\text{lp } \phi := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(\varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}.$$

First, Lemma 4.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \int_M (vD_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(u) - uD_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(v)) dV_g \\ = \text{lp} \int_{r>\varepsilon} (\tilde{v}\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u}) - \tilde{u}\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{v}))|_{\mathring{X}} dV_{g_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Second, Lemma 3.1 and the symmetry  $c_{i,j} = c_{j,i}$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad \int_{r>\varepsilon} (\tilde{v}\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{u}) - \tilde{u}\tilde{D}_{2k,w,\tilde{f}}(\tilde{v}))|_{\mathring{X}} dV_{g_+} \\ = \sum_{i+j=0}^k c_{i,j} \int_{r>\varepsilon} (\hat{v}(P_{2i,w} \circ \hat{f} \circ P_{2j,w})(\hat{u}) - \hat{u}(P_{2j,w} \circ \hat{f} \circ P_{2i,w})(\hat{v})) dV_{g_+}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{u} := \tilde{u}|_{\mathring{X}}$  and  $\hat{v} := \tilde{v}|_{\mathring{X}}$ . Third, observe that if  $0 \leq j \leq i$ , then

$$P_{2i,w} = P_{2i-2j,w-2j} \circ P_{2j,w}.$$

Let  $\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\psi} \in C^\infty(\mathring{X})$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r>\varepsilon} (\hat{\varphi}P_{2i,w}\hat{\psi} - \hat{\psi}P_{2i,w}\hat{\varphi}) dV_{g_+} \\ = \sum_{\ell=1}^i \int_{r>\varepsilon} ((P_{2i-2\ell,w-2\ell}\hat{\varphi})P_{2\ell,w}\hat{\psi} - (P_{2i-2\ell+2,w-2\ell+2}\hat{\varphi})P_{2\ell-2,w}\hat{\psi}) dV_{g_+} \\ = \sum_{\ell=1}^i \int_{r>\varepsilon} ((P_{2i-2\ell,w-2\ell}\hat{\varphi})\Delta_{g_+}P_{2\ell-2,w}\hat{\psi} - (\Delta_{g_+}P_{2i-2\ell,w-2\ell}\hat{\varphi})P_{2\ell-2,w}\hat{\psi}) dV_{g_+}. \end{aligned}$$

The Divergence Theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{r>\varepsilon} \left( \widehat{\varphi} P_{2i,w} \widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\psi} P_{2i,w} \widehat{\varphi} \right) dV_{g_+} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^i \int_{r=\varepsilon} \left( (P_{2i-2\ell,w-2\ell} \widehat{\varphi}) \nabla_n P_{2\ell-2,w} \widehat{\psi} - (\nabla_n P_{2i-2\ell,w-2\ell} \widehat{\varphi}) P_{2\ell-2,w} \widehat{\psi} \right) dA_{g_+}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $dA_{g_+}$  are the outward-pointing unit normal and the area element, respectively, of  $\{r = \varepsilon\}$  with respect to  $g_+$ . In particular,

$$\text{lp} \int_{r>\varepsilon} \left( \widehat{\varphi} P_{2i,w} \widehat{\psi} - \widehat{\psi} P_{2i,w} \widehat{\varphi} \right) dV_{g_+} = 0.$$

Combining this with Equation (4.1) and (4.2) yields the final result.  $\square$

Our main results follow from Proposition 4.2 and tangentiality.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Corollary 2.6, it suffices to compute in the straight and normal ambient space associated to a representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$ . Equation (2.7) and Proposition 4.2 express  $D_{2k}$  as a linear combination of formally self-adjoint operators. Therefore  $D_{2k}$  is formally self-adjoint.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By Corollary 2.5, it suffices to compute in the straight and normal ambient space associated to a representative  $g \in \mathfrak{c}$ . Combining Equation (2.6) with Proposition 4.2 realizes  $D_{2k,\mathcal{I}}$  as a formally self-adjoint operator.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Shane Chern for very useful discussions.

JSC acknowledges support from a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians, ID 524601.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. N. Bailey, M. G. Eastwood, and C. R. Graham, *Invariant theory for conformal and CR geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **139** (1994), no. 3, 491–552. MR1283869
- [2] J. S. Case, Y.-J. Lin, and W. Yuan, *Curved Versions of the Ovsienko–Redou Operators*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **19** (2023), 16904–16929. MR4651902
- [3] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), no. 2-3, 139–151. MR1909634
- [4] ———, *The ambient metric*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 178, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. MR2858236
- [5] ———, *Juhl’s formulae for GJMS operators and Q-curvatures*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (2013), no. 4, 1191–1207. MR3073887
- [6] C. R. Graham, *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics*, The Proceedings of the 19th Winter School “Geometry and Physics” (Srní, 1999), 2000, pp. 31–42. MR1758076
- [7] C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. J. Mason, and G. A. J. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. I. Existence*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **46** (1992), no. 3, 557–565. MR1190438
- [8] C. R. Graham and M. Zworski, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), no. 1, 89–118. MR1965361
- [9] A. Juhl, *Explicit formulas for GJMS-operators and Q-curvatures*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 4, 1278–1370. MR3077914
- [10] V. Ovsienko and P. Redou, *Generalized transvectants–Rankin–Cohen brackets*, Lett. Math. Phys. **63** (2003), no. 1, 19–28. MR1967533

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA  
*Email address:* `jscase@psu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC SANTA BARBARA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA  
*Email address:* `ztyan@ucsb.edu`