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#### Abstract

We study the lattice of submonoids of the uniform block permutation monoid containing the symmetric group (which is its group of units). We prove that this lattice is distributive under union and intersection by relating the submonoids containing the symmetric group to downsets in a new partial order on integer partitions. Furthermore, we show that the sizes of the $\mathscr{J}$-classes of the uniform block permutation monoid are sums of squares of dimensions of irreducible modules of the monoid algebra.


## 1. Introduction

A block permutation is a bijection between the set of blocks of a set partition $A$ of $[k]=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and the set of blocks of a set partition $B$ of $[\bar{k}]=\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{k}\}$. A block permutation is uniform if the image of each block $A_{i}$ of $A$ has the same cardinality as $A_{i}$. There are at least three approaches to the algebra of uniform block permutations that are established in the literature. The first is as a factorizable inverse semigroup [2, 4, 3]. The second is as a centralizer algebra $[7,8,9,10]$, where it is a limiting case of the Tanabe algebra [15]. The third is as a Hopf algebra [1] where the set of all uniform block permutations are graded by size and there is an external product and coproduct.

In [11], we studied the representation theory of the algebra of uniform block permutations as a monoid algebra in the pursuit of computing the restriction of an irreducible $G L_{n}$ module to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ of permutation matrices, where note that $\mathfrak{S}_{n} \subseteq G L_{n}$.

For a positive integer $k$, let $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ denote the monoid of uniform block permutations of the set $[k] \cup[\bar{k}]$ with the usual diagram algebra product. The irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ are indexed by sequences of partitions $\vec{\lambda}=\left(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(k)}\right)$ such that $\left|\lambda^{(1)}\right|+2\left|\lambda^{(2)}\right|+$ $\cdots+k\left|\lambda^{(k)}\right|=k$. Let $W_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}^{\vec{\lambda}}$ denote the irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{k}$-module indexed by $\vec{\lambda}$. One of the main results of [11] is a formula in terms of the operation of plethysm on symmetric functions for the multiplicity of an irreducible symmetric group module in $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{S}_{k}}^{\mathcal{U}_{k}} W_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}$.

Since both $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ are monoids, it is natural to ask for a description of the monoids which lie in between $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{k}$. The following theorem summarizes the results in this paper and provides a precise description.
Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.3). For $k$ a positive integer, the set of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ that contain $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ forms a distributive lattice with the operations of union and intersection.

[^0](Corollary 5.4) Define a partial order on partitions $\mu, \lambda$ of $k$ that are not equal to $1^{k}$ such that $\mu \preceq \lambda$ if and only if $\mu$ is coarser than $\lambda$ in refinement order and $\varsigma_{\mu}>\varsigma_{\lambda}$, where $\varsigma_{\mu}$ denotes the smallest part of $\mu$ not equal to 1 . For $\mu$ a partition of $k$, let $J_{\mu}$ denote a $\mathscr{J}$-class of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$. Every submonoid $S$ of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ that contains $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ is of the form
$$
S=\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\mu \in I} J_{\mu}
$$
for some down set I of the partial order $\preceq$.
The group of units of a monoid $M$ is the largest group, $G \subseteq M$, containing the identity element of the monoid. The group of units of the uniform block permutation monoid $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$. The poset of submonoids of $M$ which contain $G$ [12] does not seem to have such nice properties as in the case of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$. For instance, our experiments calculating the lattice of monoids of the dual-inverse semigroup [4] indicate that this lattice of submonoids for $k=5$ does not form a distributive lattice.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the necessary notation. Sections 3 and 4 characterize the new partial order on integer partitions used in Theorem 1.1. The connection between the partial order and the lattice of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ is completed in Section 5. Section 6 concludes with some remarks about the consequences of this result. In particular, we show that the sizes of the $\mathscr{J}$-classes of the uniform block permutation monoid are sums of squares of dimensions of irreducibles.
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## 2. Notation

In this section, we introduce notation related to the uniform block permutation monoid. We follow the presentation in [11] and refer the reader to this reference for additional details and references. Fix a positive integer $k$ in this section.

We say that $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ is a partition of $k$ if for all $1 \leqslant i<r, \lambda_{i}$ is a positive integer, $\lambda_{i} \geqslant \lambda_{i+1}, \lambda_{r}>0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}=k$. If $\lambda$ is a partition of $k$, we write $\lambda \vdash k$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{k}=\{\lambda \vdash k\}$ the set of partitions of $k$. Let $\ell(\lambda):=r$ denote the length of the partition. We also use exponential notation to represent partitions. If the partition has $b$ occurrences of an integer $i$, we represent it by $i^{b}$ (e.g. $\lambda=(4,4,4,2,1,1,1,1)$ is also represented by $1^{4} 2^{1} 4^{3}$ ).

For a finite set $X$, we say that $\pi$ is a set partition of $X$ (indicated by $\pi \vdash X$ ) if $\pi=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{r}\right\}$, where $\emptyset \subsetneq A_{i} \subseteq X$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, and $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \cdots \cup A_{r}=X$. Denote by $\ell(\pi)=r$ the length of the set partition. The type of a set partition $\pi$ is type $(\pi)=\operatorname{sort}(|A|: A \in \pi)$, where the operation of sort arranges the integers in the list in weakly decreasing order so that the result is a partition.

We say that $\pi$ is finer than $\tau$ (equivalently, $\tau$ is coarser than $\pi$ ) if for every block $A \in \pi$, there is a block $B \in \tau$ such that $A \subseteq B$. The set of set partitions of $X$ forms a lattice under refinement order, where the join operation $\pi \vee \tau$ is defined to be the finest set partition coarser than both $\pi$ and $\tau$ and the meet operation $\pi \wedge \tau$ is the coarsest set partition which is finer than both $\pi$ and $\tau$.

Let $[k]:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ and $[\bar{k}]:=\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{k}\}$. We say that a set partition $\pi$ of $[k] \cup[\bar{k}]$ is uniform if for each $A \in \pi$ the condition $|A \cap[k]|=|A \cap[\bar{k}]|$ holds. Let $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ denote the set of uniform set partitions of $[k] \cup[\bar{k}]$. For reference, we mention that the number of elements in $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ is sequence A023998 in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [6] and (starting with $k=0$ ) the first few terms of the sequence are

$$
1,1,3,16,131,1496,22482,426833, \ldots .
$$

For a set $S \subseteq[k] \cup[\bar{k}]$, let $\bar{S}=\{i: \bar{i} \in S \cap[\bar{k}]\} \cup\{\bar{i}: i \in S \cap[k]\}$. For $\pi \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$, let $\operatorname{top}(\pi)$ be the set partition of $[k]$ consisting of the blocks $A \cap[k]$ for $A \in \pi$ and $\operatorname{bot}(\pi)$ the set partition of $[k]$ containing the blocks $\bar{A} \cap[k]$ for $A \in \pi$. For $\pi \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$, we use type $(\pi)$ to denote $\operatorname{type}(\operatorname{top}(\pi))$, which is a partition of the integer $k$.

Example 2.1. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi & =\{\{1,3, \overline{1}, \overline{2}\},\{2, \overline{4}\},\{4,6, \overline{3}, \overline{6}\},\{5, \overline{7}\},\{7,8,9, \overline{5}, \overline{8}, \overline{9}\}\} \in \mathcal{U}_{9}, \\
\operatorname{top}(\pi) & =\{\{1,3\},\{2\},\{4,6\},\{5\},\{7,8,9\}\}, \\
\operatorname{bot}(\pi) & =\{\{1,2\},\{4\},\{3,6\},\{7\},\{5,8,9\}\}, \\
\operatorname{type}(\pi) & =(3,2,2,1,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will also view elements of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ as a graph and use this graph structure to define a monoid product on these elements. We draw the graphs in two rows, the elements $[k]=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ are arranged from left to right in a top row and the elements $[\bar{k}]=\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{k}\}$ from left to right in a bottom row. An element $\pi \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$ is represented as a graph, where two vertices are connected with a path if and only if they are in the same set of $\pi$. The diagram for $\pi$ represents a class of labeled graphs that have the same connected components.

Consider $\pi, \gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$ as diagrams. The monoid product $\pi \gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$ is computed as follows: Stack the graph $\pi$ on top of $\gamma$ and identify the bottom vertices of $\pi$ with the top vertices of $\gamma$. Compute the connected components of the three-row diagram and then eliminate the vertices of the middle row from this diagram. The element $\pi \gamma \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$ has the same connected components as this stacked graph.

Example 2.2. Let $\pi=\{\{1,2,7, \overline{2}, \overline{8}, \overline{9}\},\{3, \overline{1}\},\{4,8, \overline{3}, \overline{5}\},\{5,9, \overline{4}, \overline{6}\},\{6, \overline{7}\}\}$ and $\tau=$ $\{\{1, \overline{2}\},\{2,3, \overline{1}, \overline{4}\},\{4,6, \overline{3}, \overline{5}\},\{5, \overline{9}\},\{7,8,9, \overline{6}, \overline{7}, \overline{8}\}\}$. The diagram for $\pi$ is shown below on the left and the diagram for $\tau$ is on the right.


Their product $\pi \tau=\{\{1,2,4,6,7,8, \overline{1}, \overline{4}, \overline{6}, \overline{7}, \overline{8}, \overline{9}\},\{3, \overline{2}\},\{5,9, \overline{3}, \overline{5}\}\}$ is computed with the graphs:


This product is an extension of the product of permutations in the sense that an element $\pi=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right\} \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$ with all $\left|A_{i}\right|=2$ can be identified with a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ via $\pi=\{\{\sigma(1), \overline{1}\},\{\sigma(2), \overline{2}\}, \ldots,\{\sigma(k), \bar{k}\}\}$. Under this identification the identity permutation is the identity of the monoid, the product for two such elements corresponds to composition of permutations, and the maximal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing the identity is $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$.

The set of idempotents of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ is denoted $E\left(\mathcal{U}_{k}\right)$. The idempotents are all $\pi \in \mathcal{U}_{k}$ such that $A \cap[k]=\bar{A} \cap[k]$ for all $A \in \pi$. Each idempotent $\pi \in E\left(\mathcal{U}_{k}\right)$ is therefore determined by the set partition $\operatorname{top}(\pi)$ of $[k]$ and we use the notation $e_{\operatorname{top}(\pi)}$ to refer to this element. We note the following properties of the idempotents (see for instance [11, Section 2.5]):
(1) The product of two idempotents is

$$
e_{\pi} e_{\gamma}=e_{\pi \vee \gamma},
$$

where $\vee$ is the join operation in the lattice of set partitions.
(2) $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ acts on the set of idempotents $E\left(\mathcal{U}_{k}\right)$ by conjugation: for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $e_{\pi} \in$ $E\left(\mathcal{U}_{k}\right)$,

$$
\tau e_{\pi} \tau^{-1}=e_{\tau^{-1}(\pi)}
$$

Consequently,

$$
e_{\pi} \tau=\tau e_{\tau(\pi)} \quad \text { and } \quad \tau e_{\pi}=e_{\tau^{-1}(\pi)} \tau
$$

(3) Every element of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ is of the form $\tau e_{\pi}$ for $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $\pi \vdash[k]$.
(4) If $\tau_{1} e_{\pi}=\tau_{2} e_{\gamma}$ for $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$, then $\pi=\gamma$.

Let $x$ and $y$ be elements of a monoid $M$. We say that $x$ and $y$ are $\mathscr{J}$-equivalent (one of Green's relations on semigroups [5]) if $M x M=M y M$. This is an equivalence relation and so partitions the elements of $M$ into classes which are called the $\mathscr{J}$-classes of $M$. In the case that $M=\mathcal{U}_{k}$, the $\mathscr{J}$-classes are indexed by partitions $\mu$ of $k$ and are given by $J_{\mu}=\left\{\pi \in \mathcal{U}_{k}: \operatorname{type}(\pi)=\mu\right\}$ (for details, see [11, Proposition 3.5]). By the above properties, we also have $J_{\mu}=\left\{\sigma e_{\gamma} \tau: \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}\right\}$ for any set partition $\gamma$ of $[k]$ such that $\operatorname{type}(\gamma)=\mu$.

## 3. A NEW PARTIAL ORDER $\preceq$ ON INTEGER PARTITIONS

We define a new partial order on integer partitions in terms of set partitions in Section 3.1 and relate it to refinement order on integer partitions in Section 3.2.

### 3.1. Definition of a new partial order on integer partitions.

Definition 3.1. For $\mu, \lambda \vdash k$, define $\mu \preceq \lambda$ if there exist set partitions $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell} \vdash[k]$ of type $\lambda$ with $\pi_{0} \vee \pi_{1} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}$ of type $\mu$.


Figure 1. Hasse diagram for $\left(\mathcal{P}_{6} \backslash\left\{1^{6}\right\}, \preceq\right)$.
Remark 3.2. Note that $1^{k}$ is incomparable to all other partitions: there is exactly one set partition $\pi$ of $[k]$ of type $1^{k}$, so $\mu \preceq 1^{k}$ implies $\mu=1^{k}$; and if $\pi_{0} \vee \pi_{1}=\pi$, then $\pi_{0}=\pi_{1}=\pi$, so $1^{k} \preceq \mu$ implies $\mu=1^{k}$. For this reason we will mainly be concerned with the partial order on partitions of $k$ excluding $1^{k}$. We will make a connection between the submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and the downsets of the partial order $\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \backslash\left\{1^{k}\right\}, \preceq\right)$.

Proposition 3.3. The relation $\preceq$ is a partial order on integer partitions of $k$.
Moreover, if $\mu \preceq \lambda$, then $\mu$ is coarser than $\lambda$ as an integer partition.
Proof. We first prove the second statement. Suppose $\mu \preceq \lambda$. Then $\mu=\operatorname{type}\left(\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}\right)$ for some $\pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell} \vdash[k]$ of type $\lambda$. Since $\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}$ is obtained by merging blocks of $\pi_{0}$, its type is obtained by merging parts of type $\left(\pi_{0}\right)=\lambda$. Hence, $\mu$ is coarser than $\lambda$.

We now prove that $\preceq$ is a partial order.
Reflexivity. $\lambda \preceq \lambda$ because there exists a set partition $\pi$ with type $(\pi)=\lambda$.
Transitivity. Suppose $\nu \preceq \mu$ and $\mu \preceq \lambda$. There exist

- $\pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell} \vdash[k]$ of type $\lambda$ with type $\left(\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}\right)=\mu$; and
- $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{m} \vdash[k]$ of type $\mu$ with type $\left(\gamma_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{m}\right)=\nu$.

Since $\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}$ has type $\mu$, there exist $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ such that $\gamma_{i}=u_{i}\left(\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}\right)=$ $u_{i}\left(\pi_{0}\right) \vee \cdots \vee u_{i}\left(\pi_{\ell}\right)$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Thus,

$$
\gamma_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{m}=u_{0}\left(\pi_{0}\right) \vee \cdots \vee u_{0}\left(\pi_{\ell}\right) \vee \cdots \vee u_{m}\left(\pi_{0}\right) \vee \cdots \vee u_{m}\left(\pi_{\ell}\right)
$$

is a join of set partitions of type $\lambda$, showing that $\nu \preceq \lambda$.

Antisymmetry. If $\mu \preceq \lambda$ and $\lambda \preceq \mu$, then $\mu$ is coarser than $\lambda$ and $\lambda$ is coarser than $\mu$ in the refinement order on integer partitions and so $\mu=\lambda$.
3.2. Comparison with refinement order. The next result describes the precise relationship between $\preceq$ and refinement order on integer partitions.

Theorem 3.4. For $\nu \vdash k$ with $\nu \neq 1^{k}$, let $\varsigma_{\nu}$ be the smallest part of $\nu$ not equal to 1 . Then

$$
\mu \preceq \lambda \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \mu \text { is coarser than } \lambda \text { and } \varsigma_{\mu} \geqslant \varsigma_{\lambda} \text {. }
$$

The proof is given below after the presentation of a few necessary lemmas.
Recall that if $\mu \lessdot \lambda$ is a cover relation in the refinement order on integer partitions, then $\mu$ is obtained from $\lambda$ by merging two parts $\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{j}$. We first prove that $\mu \prec \lambda$ is a cover relation if at least one of the two merged parts is greater than 1.

Lemma 3.5. If $\mu$ is obtained from $\lambda$ by summing two parts $\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ not both equal to 1 , then $\mu \prec \lambda$.

Proof. Let $\pi=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}\right\}$ be of type $\lambda$ with $\left|B_{k}\right|=\lambda_{k}$ for all $k \in[\ell]$. Fix $b_{i} \in B_{i}$ and $b_{j} \in B_{j}$ and let

$$
\pi^{\prime}=\left(\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}\right\} \backslash\left\{B_{i}, B_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{B_{i}^{\prime}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

where

$$
B_{i}^{\prime}=\left(B_{i} \backslash\left\{b_{i}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{b_{j}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{j}^{\prime}=\left(B_{j} \backslash\left\{b_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{b_{i}\right\} .
$$

Assume without loss of generality that $\left|B_{i}\right|=\lambda_{i} \geqslant 2$. Then the intersection $B_{i} \cap B_{i}^{\prime}$ is nonempty because it contains $B_{i} \backslash\left\{b_{i}\right\}$. Also, $B_{j} \cap B_{i}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ because it contains $b_{j}$. Furthermore, $\pi \neq \pi^{\prime}$. Hence,

$$
\pi \vee \pi^{\prime}=\left(\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}\right\} \backslash\left\{B_{i}, B_{j}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{B_{i} \cup B_{j}\right\}
$$

is of type $\mu$ and so $\mu \prec \lambda$ because $\operatorname{type}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{type}(\pi)=\lambda$.
If $\mu \prec \lambda$ is not a cover relation, then $\mu \prec \nu \prec \lambda$ for some $\nu$, which implies $\mu$ is coarser than $\nu$ and $\nu$ is coarser than $\lambda$ in refinement order. But since $\mu \lessdot \lambda$ in refinement order, this is a contradiction. Thus, $\mu \prec \lambda$.
Lemma 3.6. Suppose $\mu$ is obtained from $\lambda \neq 1^{k}$ by merging s ones: explicitly,

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, 1^{t}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu=\operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, s, 1^{t-s}\right) \text {, }
$$

where $\lambda_{m}>1$ and $t \geqslant s$. If $s \geqslant \lambda_{m}$, then $\mu \prec \lambda$.
Proof. Let $\pi=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}\right\}$ be a set partition of type $\lambda$ with $\left|B_{k}\right|=\lambda_{k}$ for all $k \in[\ell]$. The block $B_{m}$ has size $\lambda_{m} \leqslant s$ and $B_{m+1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}$ are singletons, so we can write

$$
B_{m}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\lambda_{m}}\right\}, \quad B_{m+1}=\left\{b_{1}\right\}, \quad \ldots \quad, \quad B_{m+\lambda_{m}}=\left\{b_{\lambda_{m}}\right\} .
$$

Let $\gamma$ be the set partition obtained from $\pi$ by replacing the set partitions $B_{m}, B_{m+1}, \ldots, B_{m+\lambda_{m}}$ by

$$
B_{m}^{\prime}=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{\lambda_{m}}\right\}, \quad B_{m+1}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{1}\right\}, \quad \ldots \quad, \quad B_{m+\lambda_{m}}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{\lambda_{m}}\right\} .
$$

Then $\gamma$ also has type $\lambda$ and

$$
\pi \vee \gamma=\pi \backslash\left\{B_{m+1}, \ldots, B_{m+\lambda_{m}}\right\} \cup\left\{B_{m+1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{m+\lambda_{m}}\right\}
$$

has type $\nu:=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, \lambda_{m}, 1^{t-\lambda_{m}}\right)$, proving that $\nu \prec \lambda$. Note that $\mu \preceq \nu$ because $\mu$ can be obtained from $\nu$ by first merging $\lambda_{m}$ with 1 , then merging $\lambda_{m}+1$ with another 1 , and so on:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \succ \nu & =\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, \lambda_{m}, 1^{t-\lambda_{m}}\right) \\
& \succ \operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, \lambda_{m}+1,1^{t-\left(\lambda_{m}+1\right)}\right) \\
& \succ \operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, \lambda_{m}+2,1^{t-\left(\lambda_{m}+2\right)}\right) \\
& \succ \cdots \succ \operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, s, 1^{t-s}\right)=\mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. $(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that $\mu$ is coarser than $\lambda$ and $\varsigma_{\mu} \geqslant \varsigma_{\lambda}$.
If $\mu$ can be obtained from $\lambda$ by merging at each step with a part of size at least 2 , then $\mu \preceq \lambda$ by Lemma 3.5.

Suppose instead that there are parts of $\mu$ that are obtained from $\lambda$ by merging 1s. By first merging $\varsigma_{\lambda}$ ones (Lemma 3.6), and then successively merging this new part with 1 s (Lemma 3.5), it follows that $\mu \preceq \lambda$.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose $\mu \preceq \lambda$ and $\varsigma_{\mu}<\varsigma_{\lambda}$. Since $\mu \preceq \lambda$, there exist set partitions $\pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell}$ of type $\lambda$ with $\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}$ of type $\mu$. This yields a chain in the lattice of set partitions

$$
\underbrace{\pi_{0}}_{\text {type } \lambda} \leqslant \pi_{0} \vee \pi_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \underbrace{\pi_{0} \vee \pi_{1} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{l}}_{\text {type } \mu} \text {. }
$$

Since $\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell}$ contains a block of size $\varsigma_{\mu}$ and $\pi_{0}$ does not, there exists $i \in[\ell]$ such that $\gamma:=\pi_{0} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{i-1}$ does not contain a block of size $\varsigma_{\mu}$ and $\gamma \vee \pi_{i}$ does.

This block of size $\varsigma_{\mu}$ is necessarily obtained by merging singleton blocks of $\pi_{i}$ since all other blocks of $\pi_{i}$ are of size greater than $\varsigma_{\mu}$. Hence, there exist blocks $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{\varsigma_{\mu}-1} \in \gamma$ and singletons $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\varsigma_{\mu}} \in \pi_{i}$ such that $B_{1} \sim_{C_{1}} B_{2} \sim_{C_{2}} \cdots \sim_{C_{\varsigma_{\mu}-1}} B_{\varsigma_{\mu}}$, where $B \sim_{C} B^{\prime}$ means $C$ intersects both $B$ and $B^{\prime}$.

Let us show that each $C_{i}$ equals $B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{\varsigma_{\mu}}$. Since $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are singletons and $B_{1} \sim_{C_{1}} B_{2}$, we have that $B_{1} \subseteq C_{1}$ and $B_{2} \subseteq C_{1}$. Similarly, $B_{2}, B_{3} \subseteq C_{2}$. But since $C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\emptyset$ if $C_{1} \neq C_{2}$, it follows that $C_{2}=C_{1}$ because both contain $B_{2}$. Continuing in this way, $C_{1}=\cdots=C_{\varsigma_{\mu}-1}$, and $C_{1} \supseteq B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{\varsigma_{\mu}}$. Since $C_{1}$ is contained in the block of $\gamma \vee \pi_{i}$ that contains $B_{1}$, it follows that $C_{1} \subseteq B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{\varsigma_{\mu}}$.

Thus, $\gamma$ contains a block of size $\varsigma_{\mu}$, contradicting the choice of $\gamma$.

## 4. Cover Relations For $\preceq$

The goal of this section is the characterization of the cover relations of $\preceq$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\mu$ and $\lambda$ be two partitions of $k$. Then $\mu \prec \lambda$ if and only if either:
(1) $\mu$ is obtained from $\lambda$ by merging two parts $\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ that are not both 1 ;
(2) there exist integers $t \geqslant s \geqslant 2$ such that $\lambda_{m-1} \geqslant s$,

$$
\lambda=(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{t}) \text { and } \mu=(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, s, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{t-s}) \text {. }
$$

4.1. Proof that the relations in Theorem 4.1 are cover relations. It follows directly from Lemma 3.5 that the first form of the relations in Theorem 4.1 are cover relations.

Suppose there are integers $t \geqslant s \geqslant 2$ and $\lambda_{m-1} \geqslant s$ such that

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, 1^{t}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, s, 1^{t-s}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 3.6, it follows that $\mu \prec \lambda$. If this is not a cover relation, then there exists $\nu$ such that $\mu \prec \nu \prec \lambda$. Then $\nu=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, r, 1^{t-r}\right)$ with $1<r<s$. By Theorem 3.4, the smallest part of $\nu$ that is not equal to 1 (this is $r$ ) is greater than or equal to the smallest part of $\lambda$ that is not equal to 1 (which is $s$ ). This implies $r \geqslant s>r$, a contradiction.
4.2. Proof that all cover relations for $\preceq$ are of the form specified in Theorem 4.1.

We begin by introducing some notation. For $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ and $I \subseteq[\ell]$, define

$$
\lambda_{I}=\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda^{I}=\left(\lambda_{i}: i \in I\right) \vdash \lambda_{I} .
$$

If $\mu<\lambda$ in refinement order, then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{I_{1}}, \lambda_{I_{2}}, \ldots, \lambda_{I_{d}}\right) \quad \text { for some }\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{d}\right\} \vdash[\ell] . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we order the sets $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{d}$ so that

$$
\max \left(\lambda^{I_{1}}\right) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \max \left(\lambda^{I_{d}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{I_{j}} \geqslant \lambda_{I_{j+1}} \text { if } \max \left(\lambda^{I_{j}}\right)=\max \left(\lambda^{I_{j+1}}\right)
$$

Note that this expression is not necessarily unique.

Example 4.2. For $\lambda=(6,5,4,4,3,2,1,1,1)$ and $\mu=(12,12,3)$, we can take

$$
\lambda^{\{1,3,6\}}=(6,4,2), \quad \lambda^{\{2,4,7,8,9\}}=(5,4,1,1,1), \quad \lambda^{\{5\}}=(3),
$$

or

$$
\lambda^{\{1,4,6\}}=(6,4,2), \quad \lambda^{\{2,3,5\}}=(5,4,3), \quad \lambda^{\{7,8,9\}}=(1,1,1) .
$$

We are now ready to prove that all cover relations for $\preceq$ are of the form specified in Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\mu \prec \lambda$. Then $\mu$ is coarser than $\lambda$ in refinement order, so there exists a way of merging the blocks of $\lambda$ to obtain $\mu$, as in (4.1).
Case 1: Suppose every $\lambda^{I_{j}}$ in (4.1) contains a part greater than 1. Construct a chain by merging parts of $\lambda$ as follows (cf. Example 4.3):

- if $\lambda^{I_{d}}=\left(\lambda_{i_{1}} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{i_{t}}\right)$, replace $\lambda_{i_{1}}$ and $\lambda_{i_{2}}$ by $\lambda_{i_{1}}+\lambda_{i_{2}}$;
- then replace $\lambda_{i_{1}}+\lambda_{i_{2}}$ and $\lambda_{i_{3}}$ by $\lambda_{i_{1}}+\lambda_{i_{2}}+\lambda_{i_{3}}$; and so on.

Proceed similarly with $I_{d-1}, \ldots, I_{1}$. Since every step involves merging with a block of size greater than 1 , we obtain a $\preceq$-chain from $\mu$ to $\lambda$ by Lemma 3.5. Since $\mu \prec \lambda$, it follows that $d=1$ and $\mu$ is obtained from $\lambda$ by merging two blocks that are not both 1 .
Example 4.3. Let $\lambda=(6,5,4,4,3,2,1,1,1)$ and $\mu=(12,12,3)$. For

$$
\lambda^{\{1,3,6\}}=(6,4,2), \quad \lambda^{\{2,4,7,8,9\}}=(5,4,1,1,1), \quad \lambda^{\{5\}}=(3),
$$

one first merges $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{4}$; then $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}$, and $\lambda_{7}$; and so on:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\stackrel{1}{6}, \stackrel{2}{5}, 4, \stackrel{4}{4}, \stackrel{5}{3}, \stackrel{6}{2}, \stackrel{7}{1}, \stackrel{8}{1}, \stackrel{9}{1}) \succ(\stackrel{2,4}{9}, \stackrel{1}{6}, \stackrel{3}{4}, \stackrel{5}{3}, \stackrel{6}{2}, \stackrel{7}{1}, \stackrel{8}{1}, \stackrel{9}{1}) \succ\left({ }_{2}^{2,4,7} 10,{ }_{6}^{6}, \stackrel{3}{4}, \stackrel{5}{3}, \stackrel{6}{2}, \stackrel{8}{1}, \stackrel{9}{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: Suppose $\lambda^{I_{d}}=1^{r}$ in (4.1) with $r>1$. By Theorem 3.4,

$$
r=\left|\lambda^{I_{d}}\right| \geqslant \varsigma_{\mu} \geqslant \varsigma_{\lambda}=: s
$$

We construct a $\preceq$-chain as follows. By hypothesis, $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, 1^{t}\right)$ with $t \geqslant r$. By Lemma 3.6, since $\lambda$ contains $s$, merging $s$ ones yields the following relation

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, 1^{t}\right) \succ\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, s, 1^{t-s}\right) .
$$

Since $s \geqslant 2$, merging $s$ with 1 is a $\preceq$-relation by Lemma 3.5:

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, s, 1^{t-s}\right) \succ \operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s+1, s, 1^{t-s-1}\right) .
$$

Continuing to merge the new part with 1s, we get the chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s+1, s, 1^{t-(s+1)}\right) \succ \operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s+2, s, 1^{t-(s+2)}\right) \\
& \succ \cdots \succ \operatorname{sort}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, r, s, 1^{t-r}\right)=: \nu^{(d)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4.4. Consider $\lambda=(6,5,4,3,1,1,1,1), \mu=(10,8,4)$, and

$$
\lambda^{I_{1}}=(6,4), \quad \lambda^{I_{2}}=(5,3), \quad \lambda^{I_{3}}=(1,1,1,1)
$$

One obtains the chain

$$
(6,5,4,3, \underline{1,1,1}, 1) \succ(6,5,4,3, \underline{3,1}) \succ(6,5,4,4,3)=: \nu^{(3)} .
$$

If $\lambda^{I_{d-1}}$ is also of the form $1^{r^{\prime}}$, then $r^{\prime} \geqslant r$ because $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{d}$ are ordered so that $\lambda_{I_{j}} \geqslant \lambda_{I_{j+1}}$ when $\max \left(\lambda^{I_{j}}\right)=\max \left(\lambda^{I_{j+1}}\right)$. Therefore, we can apply the above procedure to $I_{d-1}$. Continuing in this way, we obtain a chain

$$
\nu^{(a)} \prec \nu^{(a+1)} \prec \cdots \prec \nu^{(d)} \prec\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, s, 1^{t-s}\right) \prec \lambda,
$$

where $\nu^{(j)}$ is obtained from $\nu^{(j+1)}$ by merging the parts in $\lambda^{I_{j}}$; and $a$ is such that $\max \left(\lambda^{I_{a}}\right)=$ 1 and either $a=1$ or $\max \left(\lambda^{I_{a-1}}\right)>1$.

If $a=1$, then $\nu^{(a)}=\mu$. In the other case, proceed as in Case 1 to merge the parts of $\lambda^{I_{a-1}}, \ldots, \lambda^{I_{1}}$ (i.e., starting with the maximal element in $\lambda^{I_{j}}$ ). Thus, in both cases we obtain a chain for $\preceq$ of the form

$$
\mu=\nu^{(1)} \prec \cdots \prec \nu^{(a)} \prec \cdots \prec \nu^{(d)} \prec\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, s, 1^{t-s}\right) \prec \lambda .
$$

Since $\mu \prec \lambda$, this is a contradiction unless $\mu=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1}, s, s, 1^{t-s}\right)$.

## 5. The submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ Containing $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$

In this section, we prove that the lattice of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ is distributive. We begin by showing that these submonoids are unions of $\mathscr{J}$-classes of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$.

Lemma 5.1. If $S$ is a submonoid of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ that contains $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$, then $S$ is a union of $\mathscr{J}$-classes of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$.

Proof. Suppose $S$ is a submonoid of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ that contains $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$, and let $J_{\lambda}$ be a $\mathscr{J}$-class of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$. If $S \cap J_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $u, v \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $\pi \vdash[k]$ of type $\lambda$ such that $u e_{\pi} v \in S$. Since $u^{-1}, v^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \subseteq S$, we have

$$
e_{\pi}=u^{-1}\left(u e_{\pi} v\right) v^{-1} \in S,
$$

from which it follows that $\sigma e_{\pi} \tau \in S$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$. Consequently,

$$
J_{\lambda}=\left\{\sigma e_{\pi} \tau: \sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}\right\} \subseteq S
$$

Proposition 5.2. Let $\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$ be the submonoid of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ generated by $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $e_{\pi}$.
(1) For $\gamma \vdash[k]$ with $\operatorname{type}(\gamma) \neq 1^{k}$, we have $e_{\gamma} \in\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$ if and only if $\operatorname{type}(\gamma) \preceq \operatorname{type}(\pi)$.
(2) The monoid $\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$ is the union of $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $\mathscr{J}$-classes $J_{\mu}$ with $\mu \preceq \operatorname{type}(\pi)$ :

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle=\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\mu \preceq \operatorname{type}(\pi)} J_{\mu}
$$

Proof. Every element of $\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$ is either in $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ or is a product of the form

$$
u_{0} e_{\pi} u_{1} e_{\pi} \cdots u_{\ell-2} e_{\pi} u_{\ell-1} e_{\pi} u_{\ell} e_{\pi} u_{\ell+1} \quad \text { with } u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\ell+1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \text { for some } \ell \geqslant 0
$$

Since type $(\gamma) \neq 1^{k}$, then $e_{\gamma}$ is of this form. Setting $v_{\ell+1}=u_{\ell+1}$ and $v_{i}=u_{i} v_{i+1}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$ so that $u_{i}=v_{i} v_{i+1}^{-1}$, the above becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0}\left(v_{1}^{-1} e_{\pi} v_{1}\right)\left(v_{2}^{-1} e_{\pi} v_{2}\right) \cdots\left(v_{\ell-1}^{-1} e_{\pi} v_{\ell-1}\right)\left(v_{\ell}^{-1} e_{\pi} v_{\ell}\right)\left(v_{\ell+1}^{-1} e_{\pi} v_{\ell+1}\right) \\
& =v_{0} e_{v_{1}(\pi)} e_{v_{2}(\pi)} \cdots e_{v_{\ell}(\pi)} e_{v_{\ell+1}(\pi)} \\
& =v_{0} e_{v_{1}(\pi) \vee v_{2}(\pi) \vee \cdots \vee v_{\ell}(\pi) \vee v_{\ell+1}(\pi)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $e_{\gamma}=v_{0} e_{v_{1}(\pi) \vee v_{2}(\pi) \vee \cdots \vee v_{\ell}(\pi) \vee v_{\ell+1}(\pi)}$, then $\gamma=v_{1}(\pi) \vee \cdots \vee v_{\ell+1}(\pi)$ by item (4) in Section 2. Hence $\gamma$ is a join of set partitions of type type $(\pi)$; that is, type $(\gamma) \preceq \operatorname{type}(\pi)$.

Conversely, if type $(\gamma) \preceq \operatorname{type}(\pi)$, then $\gamma=\pi_{1} \vee \cdots \vee \pi_{\ell+1}$ for some set partitions $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{\ell+1}$ with type $\left(\pi_{i}\right)=\operatorname{type}(\pi)$. Each $\pi_{i}$ is equal to $v_{i}(\pi)$ for some $v_{i} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$, and so $\gamma=v_{1}(\pi) \vee \cdots \vee v_{\ell+1}(\pi)$. Thus,

$$
e_{\gamma}=e_{v_{1}(\pi) \vee \cdots \vee v_{\ell+1}(\pi)}=v_{1} e_{\pi} v_{1}^{-1} \cdots v_{\ell+1} e_{\pi} v_{\ell+1}^{-1} \in\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle
$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be two submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ that contain $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$. Then $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ is also a submonoid of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$.
Proof. Let $\tau e_{\pi} \in S_{1}$ and $\sigma e_{\gamma} \in S_{2}$. Then

$$
\tau e_{\pi} \cdot \sigma e_{\gamma}=\tau \sigma e_{\sigma(\pi)} e_{\gamma}=(\tau \sigma) e_{\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma}
$$

We will show that $e_{\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma}$ is contained in $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$.

Let

$$
\mu:=\operatorname{type}(\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma), \quad \lambda:=\operatorname{type}(\pi), \quad \nu:=\operatorname{type}(\gamma)
$$

If $\lambda=1^{k}$, then $\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma=\gamma$ because $\sigma(\pi)=\pi$ is the unique set partition of type $1^{k}$, from which it follows that $\tau \sigma e_{\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma} \in S_{2}$. Similarly, if $\nu=1^{k}$, then $\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma=\sigma(\pi)$ and $\tau e_{\pi} \sigma e_{\sigma(\pi)} \in S_{1}$.

Suppose $\mu, \lambda \neq 1^{k}$. Since we want to show that $e_{\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma} \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, it suffices to prove $e_{\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma} \in\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle \cup\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\gamma}\right\rangle$ because $\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle \subseteq S_{1}$ and $\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\gamma}\right\rangle \subseteq S_{2}$. By Proposition 5.2, $e_{\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma} \in\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$ if and only if $\mu \preceq \lambda$ and $e_{\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma} \in\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\gamma}\right\rangle$ if and only if $\mu \preceq \nu$, so it suffices to show $\mu \preceq \lambda$ or $\mu \preceq \nu$.

Suppose $\mu \npreceq \lambda$ and $\mu \npreceq \nu$. Note that $\mu$ is coarser than $\lambda$ and $\mu$ is coarser than $\nu$ because $\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma$ is obtained by merging blocks of $\sigma(\pi)$ and by merging blocks of $\gamma$. Then by Theorem 3.4 we have that $\varsigma_{\mu}<\varsigma_{\nu}$ and $\varsigma_{\mu}<\varsigma_{\lambda}$.

Since $\sigma(\pi) \vee \gamma$ has type $\mu$ and is obtained by merging blocks in $\sigma(\pi)$, it follows that it contains a block of size $\varsigma_{\mu}$ that can only be obtained by merging singleton blocks in $\sigma(\pi)$. This implies that $\gamma$ contains a block of size $\varsigma_{\mu}$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 3.4). Since $\gamma$ contains a block of size $\varsigma_{\mu}$, it follows that $\nu$ has a part equal to $\varsigma_{\mu}$, implying that $\varsigma_{\mu} \geqslant \varsigma_{\nu}$, a contradiction because $\varsigma_{\mu}<\varsigma_{\nu}$.

Corollary 5.4. Let $S$ be a submonoid of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and let $E(S)$ be its set of idempotents. Then $I:=\left\{\operatorname{type}(\pi): e_{\pi} \in E(S), e_{\pi} \neq i d\right\}$ is a down set for the partial order $\preceq$ and $S=\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} J_{\lambda}$.

Conversely, if I is a downset of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \backslash\left\{1^{k}\right\}\right.$, $\preceq$ ), then $\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} J_{\lambda}$ is a submonoid of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$.
Proof. Let us first prove that $I$ is a down set. Suppose $\mu \preceq \operatorname{type}(\pi)$ for some $\pi \in E(S)$. By Proposition 5.2, $e_{\gamma} \in\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$ for any $\gamma \vdash[k]$ of type $\mu$. But if $e_{\gamma} \in\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$, then $e_{\gamma} \in E(S)$, and so $\mu=\operatorname{type}(\gamma) \in I$.

Every element of $S$ is of the form $\sigma e_{\pi}$ with $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $e_{\pi} \in E(S)$, so $S=\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, E(S)\right\rangle$. By Theorem 5.3, $\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, E(S)\right\rangle=\bigcup_{e_{\pi} \in E(S)}\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle$, since both are the smallest submonoids containing $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ and $E(S)$. By Proposition 5.2,

$$
S=\bigcup_{e_{\pi} \in E(S)}\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle=\bigcup_{e_{\pi} \in E(S)}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \preceq \operatorname{type}(\pi)} J_{\lambda}\right)=\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} J_{\lambda} .
$$

Now conversely, if $I$ is a downset of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \backslash\left\{1^{k}\right\}, \preceq\right)$, then by Proposition 5.2 part (2), for each $e_{\pi}$ with $\operatorname{type}(\pi) \in I,\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} J_{\lambda}$ and hence

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} J_{\lambda}=\bigcup_{\operatorname{type}(\pi) \in I}\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{k}, e_{\pi}\right\rangle
$$

Then by Theorem 5.3, $\mathfrak{S}_{k} \cup \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} J_{\lambda}$ is a submonoid of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$.
Theorem 5.3 implies that the poset of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ under union and intersection is a distributive lattice. The lattices for $k=4,5,6$ are presented in Figure 2.


Figure 2. The distributive lattices of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ for $k=4,5,6$. Each submonoid is labeled by a box containing an antichain of the poset ( $\mathcal{P}_{k} \backslash\left\{1^{k}\right\}, \preceq$ ). The antichains of $\preceq$ are in bijection with the downsets of this poset (see [13, Section 3.4]) and in turn are in bijection with the submonoids by Corollary 5.4. Below each box is the size of the corresponding submonoid.

## 6. Concluding remarks

In this section, we state some interesting consequences of the characterization of the lattice of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ that contain $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$.
6.1. The sizes of $\mathscr{J}$-classes are sums of squares of dimensions of irreducibles. For monoids $M, N$ such that $\mathfrak{S}_{k} \subseteq M \subseteq N \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{k}$, the difference $N \backslash M$ is a union of $\mathscr{J}$-classes. If $N$ covers $M$ in the lattice of monoids, then $N=M \cup J_{\mu}$ for some partition $\mu$.

We note that $J_{1^{k}}=\mathfrak{S}_{k}$. More generally, for $\lambda=1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \cdots k^{a_{k}} \vdash k$, let $\operatorname{sp}_{k}(\lambda)$ be the number of set partitions of $[k]$ such that the type is equal to $\lambda$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{sp}_{k}(\lambda)=\frac{k!}{a_{1}!\cdots a_{k}!(1!)^{a_{1}}(2!)^{a_{2}} \cdots(k!)^{a_{k}}} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since every element of $J_{\lambda}$ can be considered as a bijection from a part of size $i$ in the top row to a part of size $i$ in the bottom row, the number of elements of $J_{\lambda}$ is equal to $\operatorname{sp}_{k}(\lambda)^{2} a_{1}!a_{2}!\cdots a_{k}!$.

Recall from [11], that the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ are indexed by sequences of partitions, $\vec{\lambda}=\left(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(k)}\right)$, such that $k=\left|\lambda^{(1)}\right|+2\left|\lambda^{(2)}\right|+\cdots+k\left|\lambda^{(k)}\right|$. Let $I_{k}$ denote this set of sequences of partitions and let $W_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}^{\vec{\lambda}}$ represent the isomorphism class of an irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ module indexed by $\vec{\lambda} \in I_{k}$.

Now let $f^{\lambda}$ denote the number of standard tableaux of shape $\lambda$ and define the $\overrightarrow{\operatorname{type}}(\vec{\lambda})=$ $1^{\left|\lambda^{(1)}\right|} 2^{\left|\lambda^{(2)}\right|} \cdots k^{\left|\lambda^{(k)}\right|}$. Then [11, Corollary 3.19] states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} W_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}^{\vec{\lambda}}=\operatorname{sp}_{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{type}}(\vec{\lambda})) f^{\lambda^{(1)}} f^{\lambda^{(2)}} \cdots f^{\lambda^{(k)}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 6.1. For $\mu \vdash k$, we have

$$
\left|J_{\mu}\right|=\sum_{\substack{\vec{\lambda} \\ \overrightarrow{\operatorname{type}}(\vec{\lambda})=\mu}}\left(\operatorname{dim} W_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}^{\vec{\lambda}}\right)^{2} .
$$

Proof. If $\mu=1^{a_{1}} 2^{a_{2}} \cdots k^{a_{k}}$, then $\overrightarrow{\operatorname{type}}(\vec{\lambda})=\mu$ implies that $\left|\lambda^{(i)}\right|=a_{i}$. Recall that $a!=$ $\sum_{\lambda \vdash a}\left(f^{\lambda}\right)^{2}$. Therefore by (6.2),

$$
\sum_{\substack{\vec{\lambda} \in I_{k} \\ \operatorname{type}(\lambda)=\mu}}\left(\operatorname{dim} W_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}^{\vec{\lambda}}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{sp}_{k}(\mu)^{2} \sum_{\substack{\vec{\lambda} \in I_{k} \\ \lambda^{(i)} \vdash a_{i}}}\left(f^{\lambda^{(1)}}\right)^{2}\left(f^{\lambda^{(2)}}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(f^{\lambda^{(k)}}\right)^{2},
$$

where the sum on the right hand side is over all $\vec{\lambda} \in I_{k}$ such that $\lambda^{(i)}$ is a partition of $a_{i}$. The conclusion that this expression is equal to $\left|J_{\mu}\right|$ follows from the enumeration $\left|J_{\mu}\right|=\operatorname{sp}_{k}(\mu)^{2} a_{1}!a_{2}!\cdots a_{k}!$ of the elements of the $\mathscr{J}$-class described above.
6.2. The order $\preceq$ on partitions is not Cohen-Macaulay. Ziegler [16] proved that for $k \geqslant 19$, the poset of integers ordered by refinement is not Cohen-Macaulay (as it had been previously conjectured). His proof produces an interval of the poset at $k=19$ which is an obstruction to the poset being Cohen-Macaulay and states that larger examples exist at every $k$.


We remark that this same interval also occurs in our poset and hence this poset will also not be Cohen-Macaulay.

Moreover, Ziegler [16] proved that for $k \geqslant 111$ the Möbius function is not alternating. His proof also applies to our poset.
6.3. The number of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ that contain $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$. Corollary 5.4 can be used to compute the number of submonoids of $\mathcal{U}_{k}$ containing $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ (i.e. $n_{k}:=\#\left\{M: \mathfrak{S}_{k} \subseteq M \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{k}\right\}$ ) for small $k$ by computing the number of antichains of the poset of partitions of $k$ not equal to $1^{k}$ under the order $\preceq$. Using the poset functionality of SAGEMATH [14] we were able to compute the following table:

| $k$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n_{k}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 31 | 63 | 287 | 1099 | 8640 | 62658 | 1546891 | 29789119 | 2525655957 |

The sequence has been submitted to [6] and is now sequence number A371505.
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