

PARALLEL HOPF-GALOIS STRUCTURES ON SEPARABLE FIELD EXTENSIONS

ANDREW DARLINGTON

ABSTRACT. Let L/K be a finite separable extension of fields of degree n , and let E/K be its Galois closure. Greither and Pareigis showed how to find all Hopf-Galois structures on L/K . We will call a subextension L'/K of E/K *parallel* to L/K if $[L' : K] = n$.

In this paper, we investigate the relationship between the Hopf-Galois structures on an extension L/K and those on its parallel extensions. We give an example of a transitive subgroup corresponding to an extension admitting a Hopf-Galois structure but that has a parallel extension admitting no Hopf-Galois structures. We show that once one has such a situation, it can be extended into an infinite family of transitive subgroups admitting this phenomenon. We also investigate this fully in the case of extensions of degree pq with p, q distinct odd primes, and show that there is no example of such an extension admitting the phenomenon.

1. BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

Hopf-Galois structures were discovered by Chase and Sweedler in [CS69] in a theory which describes an analogue of the Galois correspondence for commutative rings, and in particular, for non-Galois field extensions. Using Galois descent, Greither and Pareigis in [GP87] then showed that the problem of finding Hopf-Galois structures on separable (but not necessarily normal) field extensions can be approached entirely group theoretically. Let L/K be a separable extension of degree n with Galois closure E . One can form the Galois groups $G := \text{Gal}(E/K)$ and $G' := \text{Gal}(E/L)$. The result of Greither and Pareigis shows that a K -Hopf algebra H giving a Hopf-Galois structure on L/K corresponds to a subgroup $N \leq \text{Perm}(G/G')$ which is regular on the coset space G/G' and normalised by the image, $\lambda(G)$, of the left translation map $\lambda : G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(G/G'), \lambda(g)(hG') \mapsto ghG'$. More precisely, $H = E[N]^G$, the sub-Hopf algebra fixed under the action of G . Here, G acts on E by field automorphisms, and on N by conjugation via λ , that is for $g \in G, \eta \in N$, we have $g \cdot \eta := \lambda(g)\eta\lambda(g)^{-1}$. The left translation map also allows us to view G as a permutation group on G/G' . In 1996, Byott in [Byo96] gave a reformulation of this situation by effectively reversing the roles played by N and G . Byott showed that if an extension L/K such that $\text{Gal}(E/K) \cong G$ admits a Hopf-Galois structure of type N , then G may be identified with a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N) \cong N \rtimes \text{Aut}(N)$. On the other hand, let G be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$. Then G corresponds to a Hopf-Galois structure of type N on any field extension L/K such that $\text{Gal}(E/K)$

Date: May 17, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 12F10; 16T05.

Key words and phrases. Hopf-Galois structures; Field extensions; Groups of squarefree order.

For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising.

Data Access Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed in this research.

The author wishes to thank Nigel Byott for comments and suggestions on earlier versions of this paper, as well as for much fruitful discussion surrounding the ideas presented in this work.

is isomorphic to G via an isomorphism taking $\text{Gal}(E/L)$ to $G' := \text{Stab}_G(1_N)$ (where E/K is a Galois closure of L/K). In this case, we again have $H = E[N]^G$. This translation often makes it easier to describe and count Hopf-Galois structures as $\text{Hol}(N)$ is, in general, a much smaller group than $\text{Perm}(G/G')$. Two permutation groups $G_1 \leq \text{Hol}(N_1), G_2 \leq \text{Hol}(N_2)$, where N_1, N_2 are possibly different abstract groups of order n , are isomorphic if and only if there is an isomorphism of groups ϕ between them such that $\phi(\text{Stab}_{G_1}(1_{N_1})) = \text{Stab}_{G_2}(1_{N_2})$. The abstract isomorphism type of N is then called the *type* of the associated Hopf-Galois structure.

Now suppose we fix a separable field extension L/K of degree n with Galois closure E . Then Galois theory tells us that there may be other degree n subextensions L'/K of E (see figure 1). We will call these related extensions *parallel extensions* of L/K . It can then be asked how the extension L'/K behaves relative to L/K in terms of the Hopf-Galois structures that it admits. Indeed, if L/K is Galois, then $E = L = L'$ and so there are no parallel extensions to L/K . If L/K is not Galois, then interesting phenomena may occur. For example, let L/K be a degree 4 extension with $\text{Gal}(E/K) \cong D_8$, the dihedral group of order 8. Note that D_8 has four non-normal subgroups of order 2 (one of which corresponds to L/K), and one normal subgroup H of order 2. Thus there is a Galois subextension L'/K of E/K , of degree 4 (see figure 2). In this case, the extension L'/K has smaller Galois closure (i.e. L' itself) than L/K , and therefore admits Hopf-Galois structures with smaller permutation group. This example also highlights that the relation of extensions being parallel is not symmetric. In particular, L'/K is parallel to L/K , but not vice versa, as L is not contained in the Galois closure of L'/K .




FIGURE 1.




FIGURE 2.

Using this example as motivation, it is interesting to study such phenomena on large classes of extensions where the Hopf-Galois structures have already been determined. Suppose, therefore, we wish to study this for separable extensions of degree n , and that we have a list of all transitive subgroups G of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for each abstract group N of order n . As explained above, each such G corresponds to a separable extension L/K of degree n and Galois closure E such that

$G \cong \text{Gal}(E/K)$ and $G' := \text{Stab}_G(1_N) \cong \text{Gal}(E/L)$. An index n subgroup H of G corresponds to a degree n sub-extension L'/K of E/K , that is, a parallel extension to L/K . The Hopf-Galois structures admitted by L'/K then depend on its Galois closure E' , which, as highlighted in figure 2, may be strictly smaller than E . This may be computed by first taking the largest normal subgroup C of G contained in H , that is $C = \text{Core}_G(H) := \bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1}$. Then $E' = E^C$ satisfies $\text{Gal}(E'/K) \cong G/C$ and $\text{Gal}(E'/L') \cong H/C$. In other words, asking whether L'/K admits a Hopf-Galois structure of type M is the same as asking whether there is a transitive subgroup $J \leq \text{Hol}(M)$ and an isomorphism $\phi : G/C \rightarrow J$ such that $\phi(H/C) = J' := \text{Stab}_J(1_M)$.

In this paper, we start by giving some necessary definitions, followed by presenting some relevant results related to extensions of squarefree degree. We then investigate fully the case of Hopf-Galois structures on parallel extensions of degree pq for p, q distinct odd primes. For this, we use the classification results presented in [Dar24b]. The final section presents examples of permutation groups (including an example of squarefree degree) which correspond to separable extensions admitting a Hopf-Galois structure, but that have parallel extensions admitting no Hopf-Galois structures, as well as giving a way of constructing an infinite family of examples.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give the results needed for the rest of the paper, and we recall the classification in [Dar24b], of the transitive subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for N an abstract group of order pq . We begin by fixing some notation and proving some results for the case that n is an arbitrary squarefree integer.

We denote an element of $\text{Hol}(N)$ by $[\eta, \alpha]$ where $\eta \in N$, $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(N)$. For two elements $[\eta, \alpha], [\mu, \beta] \in \text{Hol}(N)$, their product is

$$[\eta, \alpha][\mu, \beta] = [\eta\alpha(\mu), \alpha\beta].$$

We will further write η for $[\eta, \text{id}_N]$ and α for $[1_N, \alpha]$ to simplify notation. We also write \mathbb{Z}_m for the ring of integers modulo m .

Remark 2.1. Let G be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ with $|N| = n$ and let H_1, H_2 be two index n subgroups of G . We highlight the following, progressively weaker notions of isomorphism.

If H_1 and H_2 are conjugate in G , then the corresponding extensions, L'_1/K and L'_2/K respectively, will be conjugate. In particular, $C_1 := \text{Core}_G(H_1) = \text{Core}_G(H_2) =: C_2$ and so L'_1/K admits a Hopf-Galois structure of type M if and only if L'_2/K admits a Hopf-Galois structure of type M .

If H_1 and H_2 are in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}(G)$, then the groups G/C_1 and G/C_2 are isomorphic as permutation groups. That is, if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\phi(H_1) = H_2$, then this induces an isomorphism $\bar{\phi} : G/C_1 \rightarrow G/C_2$ such that $\bar{\phi}(H_1/C_1) = H_2/C_2$, noting that H_1/C_1 and H_1/C_2 may be identified as the stabiliser of the identity with respect to the action of G/C_1 and G/C_2 respectively. Thus the corresponding extensions behave the same from the perspective of Hopf-Galois theory.

Indeed, H_1 and H_2 may be isomorphic as abstract groups (which they need not be) but not in the same $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit. When this occurs, we have several possibilities for C_1 and C_2 , and it may even be that $[E^{C_1}, K] \neq [E^{C_2}, K]$. Therefore the corresponding extensions can potentially behave completely differently, despite $H_1 \cong H_2$.

In light of this remark, we note it is therefore of interest to sort the index n subgroups into conjugacy classes, orbits under $\text{Aut}(G)$, and abstract isomorphism classes.

Associated to a group G with order divisible by n , therefore, denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of primes dividing n , and by $\pi'(n)$ the set of primes dividing $|G|$ which do not divide n . If π is any set of primes dividing $|G|$, we recall that a π -subgroup of G is any subgroup of G , whose order is a product of primes in π and a *Hall π -subgroup* of G is a π -subgroup of G whose order is coprime to its index in G . We also recall Hall's Theorem below, which will be referred to in the rest of this paper.

Theorem 2.2 (Hall, 1928. See 9.1.7 of [Rob96]). *Let G be a finite solvable group and π any set of primes dividing $|G|$. Then every π -subgroup of G is contained in a Hall π -subgroup of G . Further, any two Hall π -subgroups of G are conjugate.*

For the remainder of this section, we let n be any squarefree integer unless stated otherwise, and we let N be a group of order N . For each transitive subgroup G of $\text{Hol}(N)$, we denote by $\#Cl_n(G)$ the number of conjugacy classes that its index n subgroups fall into.

Remark 2.3. If N is a group of squarefree order, then by Lemma 3.2 of [AB18], we have that $\text{Hol}(N)$ is solvable. So Hall's theorem applies to any subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$.

We now begin by stating and proving some results for transitive subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$.

Lemma 2.4. *There is a unique Hall $\pi(n)$ -subgroup, Q , of $\text{Hol}(N)$. Furthermore, let G be any subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$. Then G may be written as $G = U \rtimes V$, where $U = G \cap Q$ is the unique Hall $\pi(n)$ -subgroup of G , and V is a Hall $\pi'(n)$ -subgroup of G .*

Proof. If N is a group of squarefree order n , then (see [AB18]) for some d, e with $n = ed$ and k having order d modulo e , we have

$$N \cong \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^e = \tau^d = 1, \tau\sigma = \sigma^k\tau \rangle.$$

Further, let $z := \gcd(k-1, e)$. Then as described in [AB20], $\text{Aut}(N)$ is generated by the elements θ and $\{\phi_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_e^\times}$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\sigma) &= \sigma, & \theta(\tau) &= \sigma^z\tau, \\ \phi_s(\sigma) &= \sigma^s, & \phi_s(\tau) &= \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Given that $\{\phi_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_e^\times}$ is an abelian group, we may choose a set R of generators which have prime power order. Now we define the following two subsets of R :

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \{\phi_s \in R \mid \gcd(\text{ord}(\phi_s), n) > 1\}, \\ S_2 &:= \{\phi_s \in R \mid \gcd(\text{ord}(\phi_s), n) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the subgroup $Q := \langle \sigma, \tau, \theta, S_1 \rangle$ is a normal (and therefore unique) Hall $\pi(n)$ -subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$, and has $\langle S_2 \rangle$ as a complementary subgroup. Therefore, we may write $\text{Hol}(N) = Q \rtimes \langle S_2 \rangle$.

Let G be any subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$. Obtaining the form of G is a standard result in literature, but we include the proof here. Denote by U the unique maximal normal $\pi(n)$ -subgroup of G . Then $U \leq Q$ and $G \cap Q \leq U$, so $U = G \cap Q$. It is clear then that U has order coprime to its index in G , and therefore by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, $G = U \rtimes V$, where V is some $\pi'(n)$ -subgroup of G . The Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem also tells us that V is contained in a conjugate subgroup of $\langle S_2 \rangle$ in $\text{Hol}(N)$. \square

Whenever we write $G = U \rtimes V$, we will now assume that $U = G \cap Q$ and V is a Hall $\pi'(n)$ -subgroup of G which is a subgroup of a conjugate of $\langle S_2 \rangle$. Up to conjugacy, therefore, we may assume that $V \leq \langle S_2 \rangle$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $G = U \rtimes V \leq \text{Hol}(N)$ be a transitive subgroup. Then any index n subgroup H of G can be written as $H = U' \rtimes V'$, where U' is some index n subgroup of U and V' is a subgroup conjugate to V in G .*

Proof. This is the same proof as that given for the form of G . However, we note that $|V'| = |V|$, and so V and V' must be conjugate in G . \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let $G \leq \text{Hol}(N)$ be a transitive subgroup. Let $H_1 := U_1 \rtimes V_1$, $H_2 := U_2 \rtimes V_2$ be index n subgroups of G as described in Corollary 2.5. Then H_1 is conjugate to H_2 in G if and only if U_1 is conjugate to U_2 in G . Further, H_1 and H_2 are in the same $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit if and only if U_1 and U_2 are in the same $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit.*

Proof. Suppose first that there is a $g \in G$ such that $gH_1g^{-1} = H_2$. Then

$$gU_1g^{-1} \rtimes gV_1g^{-1} = gU_1 \rtimes V_1g^{-1} = U_2 \rtimes V_2.$$

If $gU_1g^{-1} \not\subseteq U_2$, then $|gU_1g^{-1}| \neq |U_2|$, so we must have $gU_1g^{-1} = U_2$.

Now suppose that there is a $g \in G$ such that $gU_1g^{-1} = U_2$. We show that there is a $g' \in G$ such that $g'U_1 \rtimes V_1g'^{-1} = U_2 \rtimes V_2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $V_1 = V$. We also note that we may assume that $g \in U$ as, writing $g = uv$ for $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$(uv)U_1 \rtimes V(uv)^{-1} = (uv)U_1(uv)^{-1} \rtimes (uv)V(uv)^{-1} = u(vU_1v^{-1})u^{-1} \rtimes u(vVv^{-1})u^{-1}.$$

We have that $vVv^{-1} = V$ as $v \in V$, and we have that $vU_1v^{-1} = U_1$, as $V \leq \text{Norm}_G(U_1)$. So $gH_1g^{-1} = uH_1u^{-1}$.

Next, we claim that there is a $u' \in \text{Norm}_G(U_2)$ such that $u'V_2u'^{-1} = uVu^{-1}$. Given this, we see that

$$u'(U_2 \rtimes V_2)u'^{-1} = u'U_2u'^{-1} \rtimes u'V_2u'^{-1} = U_2 \rtimes uVu^{-1} = u(U_1 \rtimes V)u^{-1}.$$

Therefore H_1 and H_2 are conjugate in G . To show the existence of u' , we note that both V_2 and uVu^{-1} are subgroups of $\text{Norm}_G(U_2)$. This is clear for V_2 , but for uVu^{-1} , we have $V \leq \text{Norm}_G(U_1)$, and so

$$uVu^{-1} \leq u\text{Norm}_G(U_1)u^{-1} = \text{Norm}_G(uU_1u^{-1}) = \text{Norm}_G(U_2).$$

Finally, we note that both V_2 and uVu^{-1} are Hall $\pi'(n)$ -subgroups of $\text{Norm}_G(U_2)$, and so they must be conjugate by an element, say $u' \in \text{Norm}_G(U_2)$.

We end by showing that H_1 and H_2 are in the same $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit if and only if U_1 and U_2 are in the same $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit. Indeed, if there is a $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\phi(H_1) = H_2$, then $\phi(U_1 \rtimes V) = U_2 \rtimes V_2$. We must have $\phi(U_1) \leq U_2$ as otherwise $|\phi(U_1)| \neq |U_1|$. Therefore, now suppose that there is a $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\phi(U_1) = U_2$. Then $\phi(U_1 \rtimes V) = \phi(U_1) \rtimes \phi(V) = U_2 \rtimes \phi(V)$. Now clearly U_2 is conjugate to U_2 and $\phi(V)$ is conjugate to V in G , so by the first part of this proof, $U_2 \rtimes \phi(V)$ must be conjugate to $U_2 \rtimes V_2$. Composing ϕ with this conjugation, we see that H_1 and H_2 are in the same $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose $G = U \rtimes V$ is a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$. Then for any index n -subgroup H of G , $\text{Core}_G(H) = \text{Core}_G(H \cap U)$.*

Proof. We have $\text{Core}_G(H) \supseteq \text{Core}_G(H \cap U)$. As mentioned in Proposition 2.6, we have that $G = U \rtimes V$, where $U := G \cap Q$ and V is some subgroup of G of order coprime to n . By Corollary 2.5, we also have that any index n subgroup H of G has the form $H = U' \rtimes V'$, where U' is an index n subgroup of U and V' is conjugate to V in G . We note that, for $g \in G$, we have $gHg^{-1} = gU' \rtimes Vg^{-1} = gU'g^{-1} \rtimes gV'g^{-1}$, and so the claim is

$$\bigcap_{g \in G} gU'g^{-1} \rtimes gV'g^{-1} = \bigcap_{g \in G} gU'g^{-1}.$$

In particular, if we can show that $\bigcap_{g \in G} gV'g^{-1} = \{1\}$ then we are done. We note that $G' = \text{Stab}_G(1_N)$ is one such index n subgroup with trivial core. By the above, we must have that $G' = U'' \rtimes V''$ for some subgroup V'' of order coprime with n . In particular:

$$\bigcap_{g \in G} gV''g^{-1} \subseteq \bigcap_{g \in G} gG'g^{-1} = \bigcap_{g \in G} gU'' \rtimes V''g^{-1} = \bigcap_{g \in G} gU''g^{-1} = \{1\}.$$

However, as V'' is a subgroup of order coprime to its index in G , it is a Hall $\pi'(n)$ -subgroup, and so every such subgroup is conjugate in G . Therefore

$$\bigcap_{g \in G} gV'g^{-1} = \bigcap_{g \in G} gV''g^{-1} = \{1\}.$$

□

Now let $n = pq$ with p, q distinct odd primes with $p > q$.

Lemma 2.8. *If $[L : K] = pq$ with $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ then any parallel extension of L/K admits a unique Hopf-Galois structure, which is of cyclic type.*

Proof. If $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, then $\gcd(pq, \varphi(pq)) = 1$, so pq is a Burnside number. Theorem 1 of [Byo96] then tells us that every separable extension of degree pq admits a unique Hopf-Galois structure, which is of cyclic type. If L/K is a separable extension of degree pq , then any parallel extension to L/K also has degree pq and thus also admits a unique Hopf-Galois structure, which is also of cyclic type. □

3. PARALLEL EXTENSIONS OF DEGREE pq

We now investigate the Hopf-Galois structures on parallel extensions of degree pq . Given Lemma 2.8, we will assume that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. There are then two groups N of order pq , that is the cyclic group C_{pq} and the metacyclic group $C_p \rtimes C_q$. In each case, we describe N using two generators σ, τ of orders p and q respectively. For C_{pq} , we impose the relation $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$, and for $C_p \rtimes C_q$, we set $\tau\sigma = \sigma^g\tau$ where g has order $q \bmod p$. Sections 3.2 and 3.3 will consider these two groups in turn by working with subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ which are transitive on N .

3.1. Transitive subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$. We now recall the list of transitive subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ respectively for N cyclic (I) and N metacyclic (II) of order pq . These are computed in [Dar24b]. Let e_0 be the largest power of q dividing $p - 1$, and $s = (p - 1)/q^{e_0}$.

(I) Let $N \cong C_{pq}$, and let α generate the unique (cyclic) Sylow q -subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\langle \sigma \rangle)$, which has order q^{e_0} . For some $1 \leq c \leq e_0$ and $1 \leq t \leq q^c - 1$ with $q \nmid t$, we define

$$J_{t,c} := \left\langle \sigma, \left[\tau, \alpha^{tq^{e_0-c}} \right] \right\rangle.$$

Then the subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ transitive on N are given in Table 2.

Parameters	Group
$X \leq \text{Aut}(N)$	$N \rtimes X$
$Y \leq \text{Aut}(\langle \sigma \rangle)$ s.t. $\alpha \notin Y$, $1 \leq c \leq e_0, 1 \leq t \leq q^c - 1$ s.t. $q \nmid t$	$J_{t,c} \rtimes Y$

TABLE 1. Transitive subgroups for N cyclic

(II) Let $N \cong C_p \rtimes C_q$. One may view $\text{Hol}(N)$ as $\mathbb{F}_p^2 \rtimes \langle T, A, B \rangle$, where T, A, B are linear maps, which all commute, of orders q, q^{e_0} , and s respectively, acting on the two basis vectors $\mathbf{e}_1 := (1, 0)^t, \mathbf{e}_2 := (0, 1)^t$ of $P := \mathbb{F}_p^2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
T\mathbf{e}_1 &= a_\alpha^{q^{e_0}-1} \mathbf{e}_1, & T\mathbf{e}_2 &= \mathbf{e}_2, \\
A\mathbf{e}_1 &= a_\alpha \mathbf{e}_1, & A\mathbf{e}_2 &= a_\alpha \mathbf{e}_2, \\
B\mathbf{e}_1 &= a_\beta \mathbf{e}_1, & B\mathbf{e}_2 &= a_\beta \mathbf{e}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here a_α and a_β have orders q^{e_0} and s modulo p respectively. We highlight that P is the unique Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ where \mathbf{e}_1 is identified with the generator of N of order p , and $\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_1$ is identified with the generator of $\text{Aut}(N)$ of order p . As mentioned in [Dar24b], there are $\sigma_0(s)(2e_0 + 1)$ isomorphism classes of permutation groups of degree pq which are realised by Hopf-Galois structures of cyclic and non-abelian types. Here $\sigma_0(s)$ counts the number of divisors of s . Therefore, to avoid repeating ourselves, Table 2 gives a list of the transitive subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ which are not isomorphic as permutation groups to any transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(C_{pq})$.

Parameters	Group	Order
$0 \leq c \leq e_0, d s$	$P \rtimes \langle T, A^{q^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle$	$p^2 q^{1+c} d$
$1 \leq c \leq e_0, d s, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^c}^\times$	$P \rtimes \langle TA^{uq^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle$	$p^2 q^c d$

TABLE 2. Transitive subgroups for N metacyclic

We start by investigating the parallel extensions to those admitting Hopf-Galois structures of cyclic type.

3.2. Cyclic case. Let N be the cyclic group of order pq , let G be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ of order $|G| = r$, and let $Q := \langle \sigma, \tau, \alpha \rangle$, the unique Hall $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ of order pq^{1+e_0} .

Proposition 3.1. *Let X, Y, t and c be as in section 3.1.*

If $G = N \rtimes X$, then

$$\#Cl_{pq}(G) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q^2 \nmid r, \\ 2, & \text{if } q^2 \mid r \text{ and } \tau \notin Z(G), \\ q+1, & \text{if } q^2 \mid r \text{ and } \tau \in Z(G). \end{cases}$$

If $G = J_{t,c} \rtimes Y$, then

$$\#Cl_{pq}(G) = 1.$$

Further, for extensions such that $q^3 \nmid r$, all parallel extensions admit at least one Hopf-Galois structure of cyclic type. For extensions such that $q^3 \mid r$, there is a parallel extension which does not admit any Hopf-Galois structure.

Proof. We begin by noting that for any transitive subgroup $G < \text{Hol}(N)$ such that $q^2 \nmid r$, any index pq subgroup of G is a Hall $\pi'(pq)$ -subgroup of G , and so they are all conjugate. Thus we assume that $q^2 \mid r$ for the remainder of the proof. For the index pq subgroups H of G , we also note that by Proposition 2.6, we need only look at the conjugacy classes of $H \cap Q$ in G .

Consider first the case $G = N \rtimes X$. The subgroups $H \cap Q$ are of the form

$$H_1 = \langle [\sigma^a \tau^b, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}] \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad H_2 = \langle [\sigma^a, \alpha^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}}], \tau \rangle. \quad (1)$$

We first note that we can conjugate any of these subgroups by a suitable power of σ to obtain a subgroup of the same type but with $a = 0$. We thus assume $a = 0$ from now on.

If $\tau \notin Z(G)$, then there is an element $\beta \in G$ such that conjugation by β gives a nontrivial automorphism of $\langle \tau \rangle$ (without loss of generality, we can assume that $\beta \in H$). Thus we have, say, $\beta(\tau) = \tau^x \beta$ for some $1 \leq x \leq q-1$. If we conjugate the generator $[\tau^b, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}]$ of H_1 by β and β^2 respectively and assume that $b \neq 0$, we see that $[\tau^{bx}, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}], [\tau^{2bx}, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}] \in H_1$. In particular, we then get that $\tau^{bx} \in H_1$, and thus $\tau, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}} \in H_1$. However, this then means that $pq^{c+1} \mid |H_1|$, which gives a contradiction as then H_1 has index p in G . So we must have $b = 0$ in (1). Groups of type H_1 are cyclic, and groups of type H_2 are not, unless $c = 1$. Thus, for $c > 1$, we obtain two conjugacy classes of index pq subgroups of G , represented by the families H_1 and H_2 . Each of these classes is of size p as there are p choices for a . For $c = 1$, we get the families

$$H_1 = \langle [\sigma^a, \alpha^{q^{e_0-1}}] \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad H_2 = \langle \tau \rangle. \quad (2)$$

It is clear that they remain in distinct conjugacy classes as $\langle \tau \rangle$ is a normal subgroup in G . Thus H_1 represents a conjugacy class of size p (there are p choices for a), and H_2 represents a class of size 1.

We now compute $C := \text{Core}_G(H)$ for each index pq subgroup H of G , with a view to seeing whether G/C appears as a transitive subgroup J of $\text{Hol}(M)$ with $\text{Stab}_J(1_M) \cong H/C$ for $M = C_{pq}$ or $M = C_p \rtimes C_q$.

For an index pq subgroup H containing a group of type H_1 , we see that H is conjugate to G' , and therefore $C = \{1\}$. The corresponding parallel extension is therefore conjugate to the original extension and admits the same Hopf-Galois structures.

For an index pq subgroup H containing a group of type H_2 , we compute that $C = \langle \tau \rangle$. In this case, we see that

$$G/C \cong \langle \sigma, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}} \rangle \rtimes X'$$

and

$$H/C \cong \langle [\sigma^a, \alpha^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}}] \rangle \rtimes X'$$

for some $X' \leq \text{Aut}(N)$. Consider the isomorphism $\phi : G/C \rightarrow J_{1,c-1} \rtimes X'$ given by:

$$\phi(\sigma) = \sigma, \quad \phi(\alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}) = [\sigma^{-a} \tau, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}],$$

with ϕ acting as identity on X' . Then we note that ϕ sends H/C to $\langle \alpha^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}} \rangle \rtimes X' = \text{Stab}_{J_{1,c-1} \rtimes X'}(1_{C_{pq}})$, giving an isomorphism of permutation groups. The corresponding parallel extension therefore admits the same Hopf-Galois structures as an extension with Galois closure isomorphic to $J_{1,c-1} \rtimes X'$.

Suppose now that $\tau \in Z(G)$. We obtain no restrictions on b . In this case, conjugating by any element does not change the power of τ , and thus H_1 represents q conjugacy classes, each of size p . By a similar argument as above, H_2 again represents a single conjugacy class (of size p if $c > 1$ and of size 1 if $c = 1$) which is distinct from any class represented by H_1 .

The core of any conjugacy class represented by H_1 is trivial, and any index pq subgroup containing a group of H_2 again has core $\langle \tau \rangle$. Similar arguments as above show that each parallel extension admits a Hopf-Galois structure of cyclic type.

Finally, we consider the case $G = J_{t,c} \rtimes Y$. In this case, $G \cap Q = J_{t,c}$ for some t, c . Let H be an index pq subgroup of G . Then $H \cap Q$ is of the form

$$\langle [\sigma^a, \alpha^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}t}] \rangle.$$

We see that any group of this form is conjugate, by some $\sigma^{a'} \in G$, to any other, and so all such H are in the same conjugacy class. \square

We end this section with the classification of the index pq subgroups into both $\text{Aut}(G)$ orbits and abstract isomorphism classes.

Proposition 3.2. *If $\#Cl_{pq}(G) > 1$, the index pq subgroups of G form two distinct orbits under $\text{Aut}(G)$. If $q^3 \mid r$, they form two distinct (abstract) isomorphism classes. If $q^3 \nmid r$, they form a single (abstract) isomorphism class.*

Proof. Let G be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ and H be an index pq subgroup of G . By Proposition 2.6, we need only consider the $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit of $H \cap Q$. Therefore throughout this proof, we may assume $H \subseteq Q$. This is sufficient to describe what happens for each H . We will work with representatives from the conjugacy classes worked out in Proposition 3.1.

We recall that the following subgroups are representatives for each of the index pq subgroups of G :

$$H_{1,b} = \langle [\tau^b, \alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}] \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 = \langle \alpha^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}}, \tau \rangle,$$

where $b = 0$ in the case that $\tau \notin Z(G)$, and $0 \leq b \leq p-1$ otherwise.

Firstly, if $q^3 \nmid r$, then $c = 1$ and each index pq subgroup of G is cyclic of order q . If $q^3 \mid r$, then $c > 1$, and so, whereas $H_{1,b}$ is cyclic for each b , we have that H_2 is not. In the latter case, we therefore get two (abstract) isomorphism classes.

We now consider the $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits of these representatives. Suppose then that we have $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Then by an order argument, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\sigma) &= \sigma^x, \\ \phi(\tau) &= [\sigma^y \tau^w, \alpha^{vq^{e_0-1}}], \end{aligned}$$

for some $1 \leq x \leq p-1$, $0 \leq y \leq p-1$, $1 \leq w \leq q-1$ and $0 \leq v \leq q-1$. As σ commutes with τ , this forces $y = v = 0$, and so $\phi(\tau) = \tau^w$ for some $1 \leq w \leq q-1$. Now suppose that $\beta \in G \cap \text{Aut}(N)$, then

$$\phi(\beta) = [\sigma^i \tau^j, \beta']$$

for some $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, $0 \leq j \leq q-1$ and some $\beta' \in G \cap \text{Aut}(N)$ of the same order as β . This therefore does not restrict the choices for x or w . For each $0 \leq b, b' \leq p-1$, there is therefore an automorphism $\phi_{b,b'} \in \text{Aut}(G)$ which gives an isomorphism

between the subgroups H_b and $H_{b'}$. In the case that $q^3 \nmid r$, we note that H_2 remains in a distinct $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbit from the $H_{1,b}$ as $v = 0$ above. \square

Let X, Y, t and c be as in section 3.1. The above data can be summarised in Table 3.

Subgroup condition	$\#Cl_{pq}(G)$	$\#\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits	$\#\text{Isom. classes}$
$G = N \rtimes X, q^2 \nmid r$	1	1	1
$G = N \rtimes X, q^2 \mid r, \tau \notin Z(G)$	2	2	2 if $c > 1$ or 1 if $c = 1$
$G = N \rtimes X, q^2 \mid r, \tau \in Z(G)$	$q + 1$	2	2 if $c > 1$ or 1 if $c = 1$
$G = J_{t,c} \rtimes Y$	1	1	1

TABLE 3. Results for index pq subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for N cyclic.

3.3. Metacyclic case. Now let $N \cong C_p \rtimes C_q$ and G be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ listed in Table 2. Recall $\text{ord}_q(p-1) = e_0$, and $s = (p-1)/q^{e_0}$.

Proposition 3.3. *For the transitive subgroups in Table 2, $\#Cl_{pq}(G)$ is given by the second column in Table 4.*

In each case, the associated parallel extensions admit a Hopf-Galois structure of metacyclic type.

Proof. Consider first the transitive subgroups G such that $p^2 \mid r$ but $q^2 \nmid r$. Then G is isomorphic to either $P \rtimes \langle T, B^{s/d} \rangle$ or $P \rtimes \langle TA^{uq^{e_0-1}}, B^{s/d} \rangle$. Note that the first group is isomorphic, as a permutation group, to a group of the second type with $u = -1$.

For the index pq subgroups H of G , by Proposition 2.6, we may look at the conjugacy classes of subgroups of the form

$$\langle a\mathbf{e}_1 + b\mathbf{e}_2 \rangle.$$

As both \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are eigenvectors of each map in $\langle T, A, B \rangle$, the subgroups $\langle \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$ form conjugacy classes of size 1. Therefore consider the subgroups of the form

$$\langle a\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$$

for some $a \neq 0$. These are invariant under conjugation by $B^{s/d}$. Conjugating by $TA^{uq^{e_0-1}}$ gives

$$ag^{1+u}\mathbf{e}_1 + g^u\mathbf{e}_2.$$

So a group of the form $\langle a\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$ with $a \neq 0$ is conjugate to $\langle ag\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$. Therefore such subgroups fall into $(p-1)/q = q^{e_0-1}s$ conjugacy classes, each of size q .

For $a \neq 0$, it is not too difficult to see that the core of $\langle a\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$ in G is trivial, as each of these subgroups only intersect at 1_G . For $H = \langle \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle$ or $H = \langle \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$, we have $C := \text{Core}_G(H) = H$. In these two cases, therefore, we compute G/C :

$$G/\langle \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle \cong \langle \mathbf{e}_2, TA^{uq^{e_0-1}}, B^{s/d} \rangle, \quad (3)$$

$$G/\langle \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle \cong \langle \mathbf{e}_1, TA^{uq^{e_0-1}}, B^{s/d} \rangle. \quad (4)$$

For any u , a group of type (3) is isomorphic to a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(C_{pq})$ of the form $J_{u,1} \rtimes Y$ for some $Y \cong \langle B^{s/d} \rangle$. They also may be identified as a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$. This is likewise the case for groups of type (4), but for $u = -1$ we identify the group with $C_{pq} \rtimes X$ for some $X \cong \langle B^{s/d} \rangle$ as

Transitive subgroup	#Conj. classes	#Aut(G)-orbits	#Isom. classes
$P \rtimes \langle T, A^{q^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle$	$(q^{c-1}s + 2)(q + 1)$	$2\varphi(q^c) + 4$ if $c > 1$, $q + 2$ if $c = 1$, 2 if $c = 0$.	3 if $c > 1$, 2 if $c = 1$, 1 if $c = 0$.
$P \rtimes \langle TA^{q^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle$	$q^{c-1}s + 2$	2 if $(c, u) = (1, \frac{1}{2}(q-1))$, 3 otherwise.	1

TABLE 4. Results for index pq subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for N metacyclic.

the subgroup $\langle \mathbf{e}_1, TA^{uq^{e_0-1}} \rangle$ is abelian of order pq . In these cases, therefore, the associated parallel extensions admit a Hopf-Galois structure of metacyclic type.

Now consider the groups G such that $p^2q^2 \mid r$. We observe that the conjugacy classes of the p -groups are independent of those of the q -groups. Therefore this case is simply the product of cases already worked out above and in Section 3.2. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let*

$$G = P \rtimes \langle T, A^{q^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle$$

be as in row one of Table 2. Then the number of Aut(G)-orbits and abstract isomorphism types of the index pq subgroups H are given by the third column in Table 4.

Proof. For the index pq subgroups H of G , by Proposition 2.6, we may assume that H is contained in the unique Hall $\pi(pq)$ -subgroup of G .

We consider the groups

$$G = P \rtimes \langle T, A^{q^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle,$$

for (i) $c = 0$, (ii) $c = 1$ and (iii) $c > 1$.

(i) We have

$$G = P \rtimes \langle T, B^{s/d} \rangle.$$

Then the index pq subgroups of G are $\langle \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$, and $\langle \mathbf{e}_1 + b\mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$ for $1 \leq b \leq p-1$. Each subgroup is cyclic of order p . We now wish to compute their orbits under $\text{Aut}(G)$. To this end, it can easily be seen that if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then either $\phi(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_1\mathbf{e}_1, \phi(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_1\mathbf{e}_2$ with $1 \leq x_1, y_1 \leq p-1$, or $\phi(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_2\mathbf{e}_2, \phi(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_2\mathbf{e}_1$ with $1 \leq x_2, y_2 \leq p-1$. We thus obtain two orbits under $\text{Aut}(G)$, one represented by $\langle \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle$, and one represented by $\langle \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle$.

(ii) We have

$$G = P \rtimes \langle T, A^{q^{e_0-1}}, B^{s/d} \rangle.$$

Let $a \in \{1, 0\}$, $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq p-1$, and $1 \leq \nu \leq q-2$, then the index pq subgroups of G are as follows:

- (a) $\langle \mathbf{e}_1, T \rangle$,
- (b) $\langle \mathbf{e}_2, [\lambda\mathbf{e}_1, T] \rangle$,
- (c) $\langle \mathbf{e}_1, [\lambda\mathbf{e}_2, TA^{-q^{e_0-1}}] \rangle$,
- (d) $\langle \mathbf{e}_2, TA^{-q^{e_0-1}} \rangle$,
- (e) $\langle a\mathbf{e}_1 + (a\mu + (1-a))\mathbf{e}_2, [\lambda((1-a)\mathbf{e}_1 + a\mathbf{e}_2), A^{q^{e_0-1}}] \rangle$,
- (f) $\langle a\mathbf{e}_1 + (a\mu + (1-a))\mathbf{e}_2, [\lambda((1-a)\mathbf{e}_1 + a\mathbf{e}_2), TA^{\nu q^{e_0-1}}] \rangle$.

Families (b) and (c) are cyclic of order pq , and the rest are metacyclic of order pq . We now wish to compute their orbits under $\text{Aut}(G)$. Therefore, if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$,

then ϕ belongs to one of the following two families:

Family 1:

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \phi_1(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_1 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \phi_1(T) = [(1-g)z_1 \mathbf{e}_1, T], \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_1(A^{q^{e_0-1}}) = [(1-g)z_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + w_1 \mathbf{e}_2, A^{q^{e_0-1}}],$$

for $1 \leq x_1, y_1 \leq p-1, 0 \leq w_1, z_1 \leq p-1$.

Family 2:

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \phi_2(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_2 \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \phi_2(T) = [(1-g)z_2 \mathbf{e}_2, T^{-1} A^{q^{e_0-1}}], \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_2(A^{q^{e_0-1}}) = [w_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + (1-g)z_2 \mathbf{e}_2, A^{q^{e_0-1}}],$$

for $1 \leq x_2, y_2 \leq p-1, 0 \leq w_2, z_2 \leq p-1$.

We therefore see that (a) and (d) form an orbit of size 2, and (b) and (c) form an orbit of size $2p$. Noting that no group of type (e) can be in the same orbit as a group of type (f), we see that (e) splits into two orbits; one of size $2p$ corresponding to the cases where $a = 0$ and the cases $a = 1$ with $\mu = 0$, and one of size $p(p-1)$ corresponding to the cases where $a = 1$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Finally, we can see that each group of type (f) for a particular choice of $\nu = \nu'$ is in the same orbit as another group of type (f) with $\nu = -(1 + \nu')$. Thus each choice of $\nu \neq (q-1)/2$ leads to an orbit of size $4p$ corresponding to the cases where $a = 0$ and the cases $a = 1$ with $\mu = 0$, and an orbit of size $2p(p-1)$ corresponding to the cases where $a = 1$ and $\mu \neq 0$. For $\nu = (q-1)/2$, the groups split into two orbits of sizes $2p$ and $p(p-1)$ under the same respective conditions on the coefficients. In total, therefore, the family (f) splits into $q-2$ orbits.

(iii) We have

$$G = P \rtimes \langle T, A^{q^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle.$$

Let $a \in \{0, 1\}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$, $1 \leq \mu \leq p-1$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^c}^\times$, then the index pq subgroups of G are as follows:

- (a) $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, [\lambda \mathbf{e}_{3-i}, A^{q^{e_0-c}}] \rangle$,
- (b) $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, [\lambda \mathbf{e}_{3-i}, T A^{\nu q^{e_0-c}}] \rangle$,
- (c) $\langle \mathbf{e}_1, T, [\lambda \mathbf{e}_2, A^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}}] \rangle$,
- (d) $\langle \mathbf{e}_2, T A^{-q^{e_0-1}}, [\lambda \mathbf{e}_1, A^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}}] \rangle$,
- (e) $\langle \mathbf{e}_2, [\lambda(1-g)\mathbf{e}_1, T], [\lambda(1-a_\alpha^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}})\mathbf{e}_1, A^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}}] \rangle$,
- (f) $\langle \mathbf{e}_1, [\lambda(1-g)\mathbf{e}_2, T A^{-q^{e_0-1}}], [\lambda(1-a_\alpha^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}})\mathbf{e}_2, A^{q^{e_0-(c-1)}}] \rangle$,
- (g) $\langle \mathbf{e}_1 + \mu \mathbf{e}_2, [\lambda \mathbf{e}_2, A^{q^{e_0-c}}] \rangle$,
- (h) $\langle \mathbf{e}_1 + \mu \mathbf{e}_2, [\lambda \mathbf{e}_2, T A^{\nu q^{e_0-c}}] \rangle$.

The groups in families (a), (b), (g) and (h) are all abstractly isomorphic to $C_p \rtimes C_{q^c}$, groups of type (c) and (d) are abstractly isomorphic to $(C_p \rtimes C_q) \rtimes C_{q^{c-1}}$, and those of type (e) and (f) are isomorphic to $C_{pq} \rtimes C_{q^{c-1}}$. We now wish to compute their orbits under $\text{Aut}(G)$. Therefore, if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then ϕ belongs to one of the following two families:

Family 1:

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \phi_1(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_1 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \phi_1(T) = [(1-g)z_1 \mathbf{e}_1, T], \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_1(A^{q^{e_0-c}}) = [(1-a_\alpha^{q^{e_0-c}})z_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + w_1 \mathbf{e}_2, A^{q^{e_0-c}}],$$

for $1 \leq x_1, y_1 \leq p-1$, $0 \leq w_1, z_1 \leq p-1$.

Family 2:

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \phi_2(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_2 \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \phi_2(T) = \left[(1-g)z_2 \mathbf{e}_2, T^{-1} A^{q^{e_0-1}} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_2(A^{q^{e_0-c}}) = \left[w_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + (1 - a_\alpha^{q^{e_0-c}}) z_2 \mathbf{e}_2, A^{q^{e_0-c}} \right].$$

for $1 \leq x_2, y_2 \leq p-1$, $0 \leq w_2, z_2 \leq p-1$.

We see therefore that all the groups of type (a) form one orbit of size $2p$, groups of type (b) split into $\varphi(q^c)$ orbits, each of size $2p$, all the groups of type (c) and (d) form one orbit of size $2p$, all the groups of type (e) and (f) form one orbit of size $2p$, all the groups of type (g) form one orbit of size $p(p-1)$, and the groups of type (h) split into $\varphi(q^c)$ orbits, each of size $2p(p-1)$.

To summarise, for $c = 0$, there are two $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits, for $c = 1$, there are $q+2$ $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits, and for $c > 1$, there are $2\varphi(q^c) + 4$ $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let*

$$G = P \rtimes \langle T A^{uq^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle,$$

be as in row two of Table 2. Then the number of $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits and abstract isomorphism types of the index pq subgroups H are given by the fourth column in Table 4.

Proof. For the index pq subgroups H of G , by Proposition 2.6, we may assume that H is contained in the unique Hall $\pi(pq)$ -subgroup of G .

We consider the groups

$$G = P \rtimes \langle T A^{uq^{e_0-c}}, B^{s/d} \rangle.$$

Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$, $1 \leq \mu \leq p-1$, then the index pq subgroups of G are as follows:

- (a) $\left\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \left[\lambda \mathbf{e}_{3-i}, A^{uq^{e_0-(c-1)}} \right] \right\rangle$,
- (b) $\left\langle \mathbf{e}_1 + \mu \mathbf{e}_2, \left[\lambda \mathbf{e}_2, A^{uq^{e_0-(c-1)}} \right] \right\rangle$.

Each group is abstractly isomorphic to $C_p \rtimes C_{q^{c-1}}$. We now wish to compute their orbits under $\text{Aut}(G)$. Therefore, if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then ϕ belongs to one of the following two families:

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \phi_1(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_1 \mathbf{e}_2, \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_1(A^{uq^{e_0-(c-1)}}) = \left[z \mathbf{e}_1 + w \mathbf{e}_2, A^{uq^{e_0-(c-1)}} \right].$$

For $(c, u) = (1, \frac{1}{2}(q-1))$, we also have

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{e}_1) = x_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \phi_2(\mathbf{e}_2) = y_2 \mathbf{e}_1.$$

For $(c, u) \neq (1, \frac{1}{2}(q-1))$, we see that (a) splits into two orbits, each of size p , and (b) forms a single orbit of size $p(p-1)$. For $(c, u) = (1, \frac{1}{2}(q-1))$, the extra automorphisms of the form ϕ_2 let us join all the subgroups of type (a) into a single orbit of size $2p$. \square

Sections 3.2 and 3.3 give the following theorem

Theorem 3.6. *Let L/K be a separable extension of degree pq admitting a Hopf-Galois structure of type N . Then all parallel extensions of L/K also admit a Hopf-Galois structure of type N .*

4. PARALLEL EXTENSIONS ADMITTING NO HOPF-GALOIS STRUCTURES

We say that a separable extension L/K admits the *parallel no-HGS* property if L/K admits a Hopf-Galois structure, and has a parallel extension L'/K admitting no Hopf-Galois structure of any type. Theorem 3.6 tells us that no separable extension of degree pq admits the parallel no-HGS property. Thus the question remains as to whether there are indeed examples of extensions admitting the parallel no-HGS property, and if so, how rare such a phenomenon is.

In order to investigate this question for extensions L/K of a fixed degree n , it is necessary to know a lot about the transitive subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for each abstract group N of order n . More specifically, if L/K has Galois closure E/K of degree mn , answering the question requires a classification of all transitive subgroups of $\text{Hol}(N)$ (for each N of order n) of order divisible by n and dividing mn .

Our approach to searching for extensions of low degree n admitting the parallel no-HGS property is to write a computer algorithm which can be implemented in MAGMA, see [Dar24a]. The algorithm first builds a list of all transitive subgroups G of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for each group N of order n . For each G , it then computes all subgroups H of order $|G|/n$, followed by computing the core $C := \text{Core}_G(H)$. If $C \neq \{1\}$, we then need to view H/C as a subgroup of $J := G/C$, which may be done via identifying H/C with the image J' of H through the natural homomorphism from G to J . We then ask whether J is isomorphic as an abstract group to any transitive subgroup M of some $\text{Hol}(N')$ in our original list. If such an isomorphism ϕ exists, we can construct all isomorphisms from J to M by composing ϕ with elements of $\text{Aut}(M)$. We finally check whether any of these isomorphisms send J' to $M' := \text{Stab}_M(1_{N'})$. If no isomorphisms exist, then given a separable extension L/K with Galois closure E such that $\text{Gal}(E/K) \cong G$ and $\text{Gal}(E/L) \cong G'$, then L/K admits the parallel no-HGS property. If such a transitive subgroup G is found, then we also say that G admits the parallel no-HGS property.

The algorithm described has enabled us to find examples of transitive subgroups (G, G') of degrees $n = 12, 24, 27$ and 42 which correspond to Hopf-Galois structures, but have index n subgroups H such that $(G/C, H/C)$ do not correspond to any transitive subgroups admitting Hopf-Galois structures. Given these transitive subgroups, we may then infer the existence of extensions of degree n which admit the parallel no-HGS property. We make this more precise in Theorem 4.1.

It is to be remarked, however, that the occurrence of the parallel no-HGS property appears to be very rare. Of the 249 Hopf-Galois structures admitted by extensions of degree 12, there are only 10 admitted by extensions which admit the parallel no-HGS property. Of the 14908 of degree 24, there are 140, and of the 6699 of degree 27, there is just one.

Theorem 4.1. *There is a separable extension of degree 12 admitting the parallel no-HGS property.*

Proof. Let A_4 denote the alternating group of degree 4, and give the following presentation of $\text{Hol}(A_4)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hol}(A_4) = \langle & (1, 5, 9)(2, 8, 11)(3, 6, 12)(4, 7, 10), (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 7)(6, 8)(9, 11)(10, 12), \\ & (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)(7, 8)(9, 10)(11, 12), (2, 3)(5, 9)(6, 11)(7, 10)(8, 12), \\ & (2, 4, 3)(6, 8, 7)(10, 12, 11), (5, 8)(6, 7)(9, 10)(11, 12), \\ & (5, 6)(7, 8)(9, 11)(10, 12) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

One may identify A_4 inside $\text{Hol}(A_4)$ with the subgroup

$$\begin{aligned} A_4 \cong \langle & (1, 5, 9)(2, 8, 11)(3, 6, 12)(4, 7, 10), \\ & (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 7)(6, 8)(9, 11)(10, 12), \\ & (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)(7, 8)(9, 10)(11, 12) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then the following group G of order 48, given by

$$\begin{aligned} G := \langle & (1, 9, 5)(2, 10, 6)(3, 11, 7)(4, 12, 8), (1, 2)(3, 4)(4, 8)(6, 7)(9, 11)(10, 12), \\ & (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 6)(7, 8)(9, 12)(10, 11) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

is a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(A_4)$, with $G' := \text{Stab}_G(1)$ of order 4 given by

$$G' = \langle (5, 6)(7, 8)(9, 11)(10, 12), (5, 7)(6, 8)(9, 12)(10, 11), (5, 8)(6, 7)(9, 10)(11, 12) \rangle.$$

The group

$$H = \langle (5, 8)(6, 7)(9, 10)(11, 12), (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 6)(7, 8)(9, 12)(10, 11) \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$$

is an index 12 subgroup of G , with core $C := \langle (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 7)(6, 8)(9, 11)(10, 12) \rangle \cong C_2$ in G .

Then it can be checked by computer that there is no isomorphism between G/C and any transitive subgroup $M < \text{Hol}(N)$ for any group N of order 12 taking the subgroup H/C of G to the subgroup $\text{Stab}_M(1_{N'})$ of M .

Therefore, a separable extension L/K with Galois closure E such that $\text{Gal}(E/K) \cong G$ and $\text{Gal}(E/L) \cong G'$ admits the parallel no-HGS property. In particular, L/K admits a Hopf-Galois structure of type A_4 , but has a parallel extension which admits no Hopf-Galois structure of any type. \square

We remark that the existence of an extension of degree 42 admitting the parallel no-HGS property shows that the phenomenon does indeed occur for separable extensions of squarefree degree. It is, however, to be noted that this is currently the only squarefree example we have been able to find, suggesting that the phenomenon might be even more rare within this family of extensions.

Despite the rare occurrence of the phenomenon, given an extension admitting the parallel no-HGS property, we now give a way to construct an infinite family of extensions admitting the property. We start with the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.2. *Let N be a group and M a characteristic subgroup of N . Then there is a group homomorphism $\phi : \text{Hol}(N) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(N/M)$ given by*

$$\phi(\eta, \alpha) = (\bar{\eta}, \bar{\alpha})$$

where $\bar{\eta} := \eta M$ and $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\eta}) := \alpha(\eta)M$.

We note that if $G \leq \text{Hol}(N)$ is transitive on N , then $\phi(G)$ is transitive on N/M .

Proof. We show that $\bar{\alpha}$ is well-defined. Take $\eta \in N, \mu \in M$, then

$$\alpha(\eta\mu)M = \alpha(\eta)\alpha(\mu)M = \alpha(\eta)M.$$

The second equality is due to the fact M is characteristic in N , and so $\alpha(\mu) \in M$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any integer n , there are infinitely many primes q such that $\gcd(q-1, n) \leq 2$.*

Proof. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the system of congruences

$$x - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad x - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad \text{for all odd primes } p \mid n \quad (5)$$

has infinitely many solutions. Define $\text{rad}_{\text{odd}}(n)$ to be the product of all distinct odd primes dividing n . Then given a solution x of (5), for any integer k , we have that

$$x' = x + 4k\text{rad}_{\text{odd}}(n)$$

is also a solution of (5). Next, given that x and $4\text{rad}_{\text{odd}}(n)$ are coprime, Dirichlet's Theorem tells us that there are infinitely many primes q of the form

$$q = x + 4k\text{rad}_{\text{odd}}(n).$$

If n is odd, then $q-1 \equiv x-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all primes $p \mid n$, and so $\gcd(q-1, n) = 1$. If n is even, then $q-1$ is coprime to the largest odd factor of n , and so $q-1 \equiv x-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ forces $\gcd(q-1, n) = 2$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for some group N of order n such that G admits the parallel no-HGS property. Then there are infinitely many primes q such that $M \cong G \times C_q \leq \text{Hol}(N \times C_q)$ is a transitive subgroup also admitting the parallel no-HGS property.*

Proof. As G admits the parallel no-HGS property, there is an index n subgroup H of G with $C := \text{Core}_G(H) \neq \{1\}$ such that G/C is not isomorphic, as a permutation group, to a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N')$ for any group N' of order n .

Now let $q > n$ be a prime (to be determined later). Then we note that $G \times C_q$ is a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N \times C_q)$ with $(G \times C_q)' = G' \times \{1\}$. One index qn subgroup of $G \times C_q$ is $H \times \{1\}$, which has $\text{Core}_{G \times C_q}(H \times \{1\}) = C \times \{1\}$. We then have $(G \times C_q)/(C \times \{1\}) \cong G/C \times C_q$ and $(H \times \{1\})/(C \times \{1\}) \cong H/C \times \{1\}$.

We claim that we can always choose a q such that $G/C \times C_q$ is not isomorphic, as a permutation group, to any transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(J)$ for any group J of order qn . Suppose, therefore, for a contradiction, that it is isomorphic to some $I \leq \text{Hol}(J)$.

By Lemma 4.3, there are infinitely many primes p such that $\gcd(p-1, n) \leq 2$, so we choose q to satisfy this property. Given $q > n$, then any group J of order qn has a unique Sylow q -subgroup (which is therefore characteristic). By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, therefore, J is a split extension of C_q . That is:

$$J = C_q \rtimes Y$$

for some group $Y \cong J/C_q$ of order n . We note that if n is odd, then the action of Y on C_q must be trivial, and so $J = C_q \times Y$.

By Lemma 4.2, we have a surjective homomorphism $\phi : \text{Hol}(C_q \rtimes Y) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(Y)$. Given $G/C \times C_q \cong I \leq \text{Hol}(C_q \rtimes Y)$ is transitive therefore, we have a transitive embedding of I into $\text{Hol}(Y)$. If we further impose that $q > |\text{Aut}(Y)|$ we must have $\phi(I) \cong G/C$, which gives a contradiction as G/C cannot be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(Y)$ by assumption. Thus now taking $q > \max |\text{Aut}(Y)| : |Y| = n$, we see that $G/C \times C_q$ is not a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(J)$ for any group J of order qn .

We finally note that there are infinitely many q satisfying the congruence conditions. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a transitive subgroup of $\text{Hol}(N)$ for some group N of order n such that G admits the parallel no-HGS property. Then for any positive integer k , there are infinitely many sequences p_1, \dots, p_k of length k of primes coprime to n such that $M \cong G \times C_m \leq \text{Hol}(N \times C_m)$ is a transitive subgroup also admitting the parallel no-HGS property, where $m = p_1 \cdots p_k$.*

Proof. This follows from repeated application of Proposition 4.4. \square

Corollary 4.6. *There are infinitely many extensions of squarefree degree admitting the phenomenon.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.5 applied to the example of degree 42 found above. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Doctoral Training Partnership research grant (EPSRC DTP).

REFERENCES

- [AB18] Ali A. Alabdali and Nigel P. Byott, *Counting Hopf-Galois structures on cyclic field extensions of squarefree degree*, J. Algebra **493** (2018), 1–19. MR 3715201
- [AB20] ———, *Hopf-Galois structures of squarefree degree*, J. Algebra **559** (2020), 58–86. MR 4093704
- [Byo96] N. P. Byott, *Uniqueness of Hopf Galois structure for separable field extensions*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), no. 10, 3217–3228. MR 1402555
- [CS69] Stephen U. Chase and Moss E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras and Galois theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1969. MR 0260724
- [Dar24a] Andrew Darlington, *An algorithm for HGS on parallel extensions*, January 2024, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10519676>.
- [Dar24b] ———, *Hopf-Galois structures on separable field extensions of degree pq* , Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **228** (2024), no. 7, 107653.
- [GP87] Cornelius Greither and Bodo Pareigis, *Hopf Galois theory for separable field extensions*, J. Algebra **106** (1987), no. 1, 239–258. MR 878476
- [Rob96] Derek J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. MR 1357169

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FACULTY OF ENVIRONMENT, SCIENCE AND ECONOMY, UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, EXETER EX4 QFU. UK.

Email address: `ad788@exeter.ac.uk`